## **CHAPTER IV**

# **DATA PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS**

#### A. Data of School

MAN 4 Marabahan on Jln. Brigjen H. Hasan Basri RT. 11 Sei Gampa Asahi Village Rantau Badauh Sub District Barito Kuala Regency South Kalimantan Province 70561 was built in 1984 with the name, Nurul Huda. From year 1997, this school has been become public school with new name, MAN 4 Marabahan. This school has got accreditation status B in the year 2004.

There are some teachers in MAN 4 Marabahan, they are an Islamic Cultural History teacher, two Arabic teachers, two Economy teachers, an Accountancy teacher, two Computer teachers, a Biology teacher, a Chemistry teacher, a Physic teacher, a Piqih teacher, an Indonesian teacher, a Mathematic teacher, a History and Civic Education teacher, a Hadits teacher, two Art and Culture teachers, a Sport Lesson teacher, a Mulok teacher, a Geography teacher, a Sociology teacher, and two English teachers.

### **B.** Data Presentation

#### 1. Students' Achievement in Vocabulary

The data about students' achievement in vocabulary (data x) was acquired from vocabulary written test. After the vocabulary written test has been collected, this data is in the form of qualitative data. Then, the data is changed to be quantitative data through qualification process. The result of vocabulary written test is shown in the table as follows.

Table 4.1 Students' Achievement in Vocabulary

Students' Code Number	Students' Achievement in Vocabulary (X)		
1	50		
2	65		
3	70		
4	70		
5	40		
6	65		
7	55		
8	70		
9	85		
10	60		
11	70		
12	70		
13	70		
14	50		
15	70		
16	70		
17	55		
18	85		
19	40		
20	70		
21	30		
22	65		
23	65		
24	40		
25	70		
26	70		
27	70		

28	70
29	75
30	65
31	45
32	70
33	65
34	70

35	65
36	70
37	60
38	30
39	60
40	65
41	70
42	50
43	80
44	35
45	70
46	50
47	80
48	80
49	80
50	80
51	50
52	70
53	70
54	70
55	70
56	70
57	70
58	70
59	40
60	55
61	55
62	60
63	60
64	60
65	60

66	60
67	60
68	50
69	70
70	40
71	15
72	25

73	80
74	50
75	55
76	50
77	55
78	55
79	60
80	65
81	50
N = 81	ΣX =4, 945

## 2. Students' Achievement in Listening Skill

The data about students' achievement in listening skill (data y) was acquired from listening test. This data is in the form of qualitative data. Then, the data is changed to be quantitative data through qualification process. The result of the listening test is shown in the table as follows.

Table 4.2 Students' Achievement in Listening skill

Students' Code Number	Students' Achievement in Listening Skill (Y)
1	45

2	45
3	35
4	70
5	50
6	20
7	35
8	60
9	65
10	40

11	45
12	75
13	65
14	35
15	75
16	40
17	35
18	40
19	50
20	45
21	45
22	40
23	40
24	45
25	25
26	75
27	60
28	65
29	20
30	35
31	20
32	45
33	40
34	70
35	45
36	35
37	35
38	40
39	50

40	50
41	60
42	45
43	50
44	50
45	60
46	60
47	60
48	80

49	45
50	60
51	45
52	60
53	70
54	60
55	50
56	45
57	50
58	50
59	35
60	50
61	50
62	45
63	50
64	50
65	35
66	60
67	60
68	40
69	45
70	45
71	35
72	40
73	40
74	40
75	35
76	40
77	45

78	45
79	50
80	35
81	40
N = 81	$\Sigma Y = 3,880$

To know the students' level at the test, the writer classifies the data into 6 criteria, namely excellent, good, sufficient, low, very low, and poor as the standard of English Department of IAIN Antasari Banjarmasin. The classification of these criteria can be seen in the table below.

Score	Frequency	Percentage	Category
80 - 100	8	9.88%	Excellent
70 - < 80	27	33. 33%	Good
60 - < 70	19	23.46%	Sufficient
50 - < 60	16	19.75%	Low
40 - < 50	6	7.41%	Very low
0 - < 40	5	6. 17%	Poor
Total	N=81	100%	

Table 4.3 Classification of Students' Achievement in vocabulary

The table above shows students' achievement in vocabulary. There are 9. 88% students who are in level. 33. 33% in good level and 23. 46% in sufficient level. But there are 19. 75% students who are in low level. 7. 41% in very low level. and 6. 17% in poor level.

Score	Frequency	Percentage	Category
80 - 100	1	1.23%	Excellent
70 - < 80	6	7.41%	Good
60 - < 70	14	17.29%	Sufficient
50-<60	15	18. 52%	Low
40 - < 50	30	37.03%	Very low
0 - < 40	15	18. 52%	Poor
Total	N=81	100%	

Table 4.4 Classification of Students' Achievement in Listening Skill

The table above shows students' achievement in listening skill. There are 1. 23% student who are in excellent level. 7. 41% in good level. 17. 29% in sufficient level. But there are 18. 52% students who are in low level. 37. 03% in very low level. and 18. 52% in poor level.

## C. Data Analysis

- The degree of correlation between students' achievement in vocabulary and listening skill.
  - a. From the data above, it can be defined the mean (M) of the students' score by using the formula as follow:

$$Mx : \frac{\Sigma X}{N}$$

$$My : \frac{\Sigma Y}{N}$$

Where:

Χ	: Students' achievement in vocabulary
Y	: Students' achievement in listening skill
N	: The number of students
	Based on the table score, it can be known that:
ΣΧ	: 4. 945
ΣΥ	: 3. 880
N	: 81

Mx : 
$$\frac{4.945}{81} = 61.04$$

My : 
$$\frac{3.880}{81} = 47.90$$

After the mean of X and Y are defined, the writer makes working table

as below.

# Table 4.5 Working Table of Data Analysis

Students'	Х	Y	Х	У	ху	$\mathbf{x}^2$	$y^2$
Code							
Number							
1	50	45	-11.05	-2.9	32.04	122.10	8.41
2	65	45	3.95	-2.9	-11.45	15.60	8.41
3	70	35	8.95	-12.9	-115.45	80.10	166.41
4	70	70	8.95	22.1	1977.79	80.10	488.41
5	40	50	-21.05	2.1	-44.20	443.10	4.41
6	65	20	3.95	-27.9	-110.20	15.60	778.41
7	55	35	-6.05	-12.9	78.04	36.60	166.41
8	70	60	8.95	12.1	108.29	80.10	146.41
9	85	65	23.95	17.1	409.54	573.60	292.41
10	60	40	-1.05	-7.9	8.29	1.10	62.41
11	70	45	8.95	-2.9	-25.95	80.10	8.41
12	70	75	8.95	27.1	242.54	80.10	734.41
13	70	65	8.95	17.1	153.04	80.10	292.41
14	50	35	-11.05	-12.9	142.54	122.10	166.41
15	70	75	8.95	27.1	242.54	80.10	734.41
16	70	40	8.95	-7.9	-70.70	80.10	62.41
17	55	35	-6.05	-12.9	78.04	36.60	166.41
18	85	40	23.95	-7.9	-189.20	573.60	62.41
19	40	50	-21.05	2.1	-44.20	443.10	4.41
20	70	45	8.95	-2.9	-25.95	80.10	8.41
21	30	45	-31.05	-2.9	90.04	964.10	8.41
22	65	40	3.95	-7.9	-31.20	15.60	62.41
23	65	40	3.95	-7.9	-31.20	15.60	62.41
24	40	45	-21.05	-2.9	61.04	443.10	8.41
25	70	25	8.95	-22.9	-204.95	80.10	524.41
26	70	75	8.95	27.1	242.54	80.10	734.41
27	70	60	8.95	12.1	108.29	80.10	146.41
28	70	65	8.95	17.1	153.04	80.10	292.41
29	75	20	13.95	-27.9	-389.20	194.60	778.41
30	65	35	3.95	-12.9	-50.95	15.60	166.41
31	45	20	-16.05	-27.9	447.79	257.60	778.41

32	70	45	8.95	-2.9	-25.95	80.10	8.41
33	65	40	3.95	-7.9	-31.20	15.60	62.41
34	70	70	8.95	22.1	197.79	80.10	488.41
35	65	45	3.95	-2.9	-11.45	15.60	8.41
36	70	35	8.95	-12.9	-115.45	80.10	166.41
37	60	55	-1.05	7.1	-7.45	1.10	50.41
38	30	40	-31.05	-7.9	245.29	964.10	62.41
39	60	50	-1.05	2.1	-2.20	1.10	4.41
40	65	50	3.95	2.1	8.29	15.60	4.41
41	70	60	8.95	12.1	108.29	80.10	146.41
42	50	45	-11.05	-2.9	32.04	122.10	8.41
43	80	50	18.95	2.1	39.79	359.10	4.41
44	35	50	-26.05	2.1	-54.70	678.60	4.41
45	70	60	8.95	12.1	108.29	80.10	146.41
46	50	60	-11.05	12.1	-133.70	122.10	146.41
47	80	60	18.95	12.1	229.29	359.10	146.41
48	80	80	18.95	32.1	608.29	359.10	1030.41
49	80	45	18.95	-2.9	-54.95	359.10	8.41
50	80	60	18.95	12.1	229.29	359.10	146.41
51	50	45	-11.05	-2.9	32.04	122.10	8.41
52	70	60	8.95	12.1	108.29	80.10	146.41
53	70	70	8.95	22.1	197.79	80.10	488.41
54	70	60	8.95	12.1	108.29	80.10	146.41
55	70	50	8.95	2.1	18.79	80.10	4.41
56	70	45	8.95	-2.9	-25.95	80.10	8.41
57	70	50	8.95	2.1	18.79	80.10	4.41
58	70	50	8.95	2.1	18.79	80.10	4.41
59	40	35	-21.05	-12.9	271.54	443.10	166.41
60	55	50	-6.05	2.1	-12.70	36.60	4.41
61	55	50	-6.05	2.1	-12.70	36.60	4.41
62	60	45	-1.05	-2.9	3.04	1.10	8.41
63	60	50	-1.05	2.1	-2.20	1.10	4.41
64	60	50	-1.05	2.1	-2.20	1.10	4.41
65	60	35	-1.05	-12.9	13.54	1.10	166.41
66	60	60	-1.05	12.1	-12.70	1.10	146.41
67	60	60	-1.05	12.1	-12.70	1.10	146.41
68	50	40	-11.05	-7.9	87.29	122.10	62.41
69	70	45	8.95	-2.9	-25.95	80.10	8.41
70	40	45	-21.05	-2.9	61.04	443.10	8.41
71	15	35	-46.05	-12.9	594.04	2120.60	166.41
72	25	40	-36.05	-7.9	284.79	1299.60	62.41

73	80	40	18.95	-7.9	-149.70	359.10	62.41
74	50	40	-11.05	-7.9	87.29	122.10	62.41
75	55	35	-6.05	-12.9	78.04	36.60	166.41
76	50	40	-11.05	-7.9	87.29	122.10	62.41
77	55	45	-6.05	-2.9	17.54	36.60	8.41
78	55	45	-6.05	-2.9	17.54	36.60	8.41
79	60	50	-1.05	2.1	-2.20	1.10	4.41
80	65	35	3.95	-12.9	-50.95	15.60	166.41
81	50	40	-11.05	-7.9	87.29	122.10	62.41
N:81	4.945	3.880			6483.33	15585.6	12943.21

Where: X: The Score of students' written test

Y: The score of students' listening test

- x: X Mx
- y: Y My

Determining the degree of  $r_{xy}$  by implementing formula as follow.

$$\mathbf{r}_{xy} = \frac{\sum xy}{\sqrt{\left(\sum x^2\right)\left(\sum y^2\right)}}$$

Where:

 $r_{xy}$ : coefficient correlation between correlated variables x and y

 $\Sigma$ xy: the amount of multiplication between variable x and y

 $\Sigma y2$ : derivation of y

From the working table above, it can be known that:

$$\Sigma xy: 6. 483.33$$

$$\Sigma x^{2}: 15. 585.6$$

$$\Sigma y^{2}: 12. 943.21$$
So,  $r_{xy} = \frac{6.483.33}{15.585.6.12.943.21}$ 

To Test Hypothesis

Based on the counting above,  $r_{xy}$  is 0. 456. By looking at the table of coefficient correlation degree of Pearson product moment, it can be known whether there is a significant correlation or not.

For N = 81, r table in 5% fault is 0. 217 and r table in 1% fault is 0. 283. It appears that  $r_{xy} > 0$ . 217 and 0. 283. It means. that the hypothesis null  $(H_0)$  is denied and hypothesis alternative  $(H_a)$  is accepted. So, it can be concluded that there is a significant correlation between students' achievement in vocabulary and listening skill.

The correlation is positive and occurs in 0. 40 - < 0.60 level. After interpreting by using Standard Classification of Correlation Degree such as suggested by Suharsimi Arikunto, it would be known the level of correlation.

Table 4.6 Standard Classification of Correlation Degree

Coefficient correlation	Category
0.80 - 1.00	Very high
0. 60 - < 0. 80	High
0. 40 - < 0. 60	Fair
0. 20 < 0. 40	Low
0.00 - < 0.20	Very low <sup>1</sup>

Based on the table, it can be stated that the correlation between students' achievement in vocabulary and listening skill is in fair level.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S. Arikunto. *Dasar- Dadar Evaluasi Pendidikan*. Edisi revisi. (Jakarta: Bumi Aksara. 2003). p. 75