## CHAPTER IV

## REPORT OF THE RESEARCH RESULT

## A. General Description of the Research Location

## 1. Brief History of Islamic Senior High School 2 Barabai

The research takes place at Islamic Senior high school 2 Barabai, which is located at jln. Telaga Sungai Tabuk Number 13 Barabai Central hulu Sungai Regency, South Kalimantan Province. It was built on April 11st 1970 and first school status was Religious Teachers' Training (PGA) by named PGA Muraeka Barabai, after 4 years it was changed to PGAN (on June $11^{\text {th }}$ 1974). Then on April $2^{\text {nd }} 1995$ the school status become Islamic Senior High School (MAN) 2 Barabai.

Islamic Senior High School 2 Barabai has strategies location. It is far from noisy highway and very fresh. The Students who want to study there are easy because the street can be reached by public transportation. It has good students' interest to study and has good teachers' influence.

## 2. Description of School Facilities

Based on observation, document and interview result, it can be known that facilities of the Islamic Senior High School 2 Barabai academic year 2009/2010 are as follows:

Table 4. 1. The Facilities of Islamic Senior High School 2 Barabai academic year 2009/2010

| No | Facilities | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Head Master's Office | 1 |
| 2 | Teachers' Room | 1 |
| 3 | Administration staffs' Office | 1 |
| 4 | Classes | 15 |
| 5 | Toilet | 15 |
| 6 | Bathroom | 8 |
| 7 | Natural Science Laboratory | 1 |
| 8 | Library | 1 |
| 9 | Language Laboratory | 1 |
| 10 | Computer Room | 1 |
| 11 | Counseling Room | 1 |
| 12 | Skill Room | 3 |
| 13 | Micro Room (Training) | 1 |
| 14 | Canteen | 1 |
| 15 | School Healthy Room | 1 |
| 16 | Students Organization of Intra School Room | 1 |
| 17 | Computers | 20 |
| 18 | Overhead Projector | 1 |

## 1. Description of Headmaster, Teachers, Students, and Administration Staff at Islamic Senior High School 2 Barabai

## a. Description of Headmaster

Islamic Senior High School 2 Barabai has been led by headmasters since it established until now from 1970 period until 2008 period. About the headmasters and their period can be seen in the following table:

Table 4. 2. The Headmasters of Islamic Senior High School 2 Barabai Academic Year 2009/2010

| No | Name of Head masters | Period |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | H. Hamdi Kursani, BA | $1970-1974$ |
| 2 | Sahibul Baseri, BA | $1974-1980$ |
| 3 | H. Yuseran, BA | $1980-1989$ |
| 4 | H. Abd. Mugeni, BA | $1990-1992$ |
| 5 | H. Abdul Mugeni, BA | $1992-1995$ |
| 6 | Drs. H. Satera | $1995-2001$ |
| 7 | Drs. H. Abdul Manan Asnawi | $2001-2003$ |
| 8 | Drs. Muhaimin | $2003-2006$ |
| 9 | Drs. H. Syamsuni | $2007-2008$ |
| 10 | Dra. Hj. Salmah Nor | $2008-$ now |

## b. Description of teachers

Islamic Senior High School 2 Barabai has 27 permanent teachers and 10 part-timer teachers. They come from different subject in teaching and also different educational backgrounds. To know more about it can be seen to the following table:

Table 4. 3. The Permanent Teachers at Islamic Senior High School 2 Barabai Central Hulu Sungai Regency Academic Year 2009/2010

| No. | Name | Latest Education | Subjects |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | Dra. Hj. Salmah Nor | S1 / Tarbiyah | Qur'an Hadits |
| 2 | Dra. Hj. Norhani | S1 IAIN | 1. PKn |
| 3 | Almunipah, S.Pd | S1 FKIP UNLAM | Biociogy |
| 4 | Ia Nuraniningsih, S.Pd | IKIP Tata Busana | Mulok (TB) |
| 5 | Rita Pusparina, S.Pd | S1 FKIP UNLAM | Physic |
| 6 |  | Drs. Syamsu Dhuha | S1 IAIN | | 1. Qur'an Hadits |
| :--- |
|  |


| 7 | M. Noor Rachman, BA | D2 IAIN | Fiqih |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | Erni Herawati, S.Pd | S1 FKIP UNLAM | 1. History <br> 2. Sociology <br> 3. Geography |
| 9 | Lily Sugiarti, S.Pd | S1 FKIP UNLAM | 1. Biology <br> 2. Physic |
| 10 | Arief Rahman <br> Hakim, S.Ag | S1 IAIN | Arabic |
| 11 | Zulfah Magdalena, S.Pd | S1 FKIP UNLAM | 1. Chemist <br> 2. Physic |
| 12 | Syamsul Kamar, S.Pd | S1 FKIP MALANG | English |
| 13 | Adiatun Nikmah, S.Pd | S1 FKIP UNLAM | 1. PKn <br> 2. Sociology |
| 14 | Hendra Wahyudi, S.Pd | S1 FKIP UNLAM | 1. Biology <br> 2. TKI |
| 15 | Eda Isnani, S.Pd | S1 Pend. Sejarah | 1. History <br> 2. Geography |
| 16 | Harisuddin, S.Pd.I | S1 IAIN | 1. Mathematics <br> 2. TIK <br> 1. Agidah Akhlak |
| 17 | Rahmaniah, S.Ag | S1 IAIN | 1. Aqidah Akhlak <br> 2. Akhlak <br> 3. Mulok Tajwid <br> 4. SKI |
| 18 | Aspiah, S.Pd | S1 FKIP UNLAM | Mathematics |
| 19 | Rahmansyah, S.Pd | S1 STKIP | Mathematics |
| 20 | Kusdiah, S.Ag | S1 IAIN | 2. Qur'an Hadits <br> 3. Fiqih |
|  | Muhammad Mulkani, |  | 1. Qur'an Hadits |
| 21 | S.Ag | SI IAIN | 2. SKI <br> 3. Art \& Culture |
| 22 | Ahmad Suhaimi, S.Ag | S1 IAIN | Economy |
| 23 | Wahidah, S.Pd | S1 UNISKA | English |
| 24 | Nordiana, S.Pd | S1 STKIP | Indonesian |
| 25 | Rusmilawati, S.Ag | S1 IAIN | 1. Keterampilan <br> 2. SKI |
| 26 | Hasan Asy'ari | PONPES GONTOR | Mulok/Nahwu-Saraf <br> 1. Geography |
| 27 | Erdy Fakhroni | S1 UNLAM | 2. Indonesian <br> 3. Art \& Culture |

Beside the permanent teachers, there are also the part-timer teachers as seen in the following table:

Table 4. 4. The Part-Timer Teachers at Islamic Senior High School 2 Barabai Central Hulu Sungai Regency Academic Year 2009/2010

| No. | Name | Latest Education | Subjects |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Fahroraji, S.Pd | S1 STIKIP | 1. English <br> 2. TIK |
| 2 | Asni Norbani, S.Pd | S1 FKIP UNLAM | 1. Chemist <br> 2. Physics <br> 1. TIK |
| 3 | Syam Suhaidi, S.Pd | S1 FKIP UNLAM | 2. Art \& Culture |
| 4 | Alta Nova Reza, A.Ma | D2 STAI | TIK |
| 5 | Agus Safitri, S.Pd | S1 Komputer | Sport |
| 6 | M. Yuseran, S.Pd.I, M.S.I | Manj.Pend.Islam | 1. Arabic <br> 2. Kalam Science |
| 7 | Ujudiah | D2 STAI | Sport |
| 8 | Ansariansyah, S.Pd | S1 STIKIP | Indonesian |
| 9 | Khairiah Fitriani, S.Pd | S1 FKIP UNLAM | Economy |
| 10 | Elpa Rahmah, S.H.I | S1 IAIN | Keteampilan |

Then, for English teachers of Islamic Senior High School 2 Barabai there are 3 persons. It can be seen in the following table:

Table 4. 5. English teachers of Islamic Senior High School 2 Barabai Central Hulu Sungai Regency Academic Year 2009/2010

| No. | Name | Latest Education | Class |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | Syamsul Kamar, S.Pd | S1 STIKIP | XA, XI IPA1, XI IPA2, <br> XII IPS1, XII IPS2 and <br> XII IPS3 |
| 2 | Wahidah, S.Pd | S1 FKIP UNLAM | XB, XI IPS1, XI IPS2, <br> XI IPS3, XII IPA1 and <br> XII IPA2 |
| 3 | Fahrurroji, S.Pd | S1 FKIP UNLAM | XC, XD and XE |

## c. Description of students

The total number of students at Islamic Senior High School 2 Barabai academic year 2009/2010 is 499 students that consist of 15 classes. They are 241 male students and 258 female students.

To know more about the condition of the students at Islamic Senior High School 2 Barabai, its description can be seen in the following table:

Table 4. 6. The Total Numbers of Students at Islamic Senior High School 2 Barabai academic year 2009/2010

| No. | Class | Sex |  | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female |  |
| 1 | X A | 19 | 19 | 38 |
| 2 | X B | 19 | 18 | 37 |
| 3 | X C | 17 | 21 | 38 |
| 4 | X D | 16 | 22 | 38 |
| 5 | X E | 16 | 24 | 40 |
| 6 | XI IPA1 | 10 | 18 | 28 |
| 7 | XI IPA2 | 8 | 20 | 28 |
| 8 | XI IPS1 | 25 | 13 | 38 |
| 9 | XI IPS2 | 25 | 10 | 35 |
| 10 | XI K1 | 15 | 16 | 31 |
| 11 | XII IPA1 | 8 | 12 | 20 |
| 12 | XII IPA2 | 7 | 12 | 19 |
| 13 | XII IPS1 | 20 | 18 | 38 |
| 14 | XII IPS2 | 20 | 15 | 35 |
| 15 | XII IPS3 | 16 | 20 | 36 |
| Amount |  |  |  |  |

## d. Description of organization structure

The organization structure of Islamic Senior High School 2 Barabai can be seen in the following table:

Table 4. 7. The Organization Structure of Islamic Senior High School 2 Barabai academic year 2009/2010

| No. | Name | Latest Education | Occupation |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | Dra. Hj. Salmah Nor | S1 IAIN | Head Master |
| 2 | Muliadi | PGAN | Chief of Adm. Staff |
| 3 | Lily Sugiarti, S.Pd | S1 FKIP UNLAM | Treasurer of Salary |
| 4 | Masrawan | PGAN | Administration |
| 5 | Asliansyah |  | Administration |
| 6 | Hasan Asy'ari | PGAN | Staff |
| 7 | Arif Rahman H, S.Ag | Ponpes Gontor | Officialdom |
| 8 | Rahmawati | S1 IAIN | Pay sheet staff |
| 9 | Elpa Rahmah, S.H.I | MAN | Administration |
| 10 | Ujudiah, A.Ma | S1 IAIN | Administration |

## B. Data Presentation

On this data presentation the writer describes about the result of research on the field that collected by technique of data presentation. The presentation covers primary data about the students' ability in reported speech at the twelfth grade of Islamic Senior High School 2 Barabai Central Hulu Sungai Regency Academic Year 2009/2010. In addition to that, it also presents secondary data which are related to this research, such as description of the research location, brief history about Islamic senior high school 2 Barabai, description about teachers, students, organization, and facilities of Islamic senior high school 2 Barabai.

Before analyzing the data, the writer must collect the data first, in order to know the students data, the writer held the test to find out the students' ability in reported speech.

The test of the ability in reported speech had been performed 2 times at the twelfth grade students. On 7 and 8 of October 2009, the test had been performed for about 40 minutes in their class.

Here is data about the test result in the students' ability in reported speech at the twelfth grade of Islamic Senior High School 2 Barabai Central Hulu Sungai Regency Academic Year 2009/2010 has been collected by writer.

Table 4. 8. The Result in The Students' Ability in Reported Speech at The Twelfth Grade of Islamic Senior High School 2 Barabai Central Hulu Sungai Regency Academic Year 2009/2010

| No | Correctness in <br> Part A | Correctness in <br> Part B | Correctness in <br> Part C | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 32 | 24 | 21 | 77 |
| 2 | 34 | 27 | 24 | 85 |
| 3 | 30 | 27 | 24 | 81 |
| 4 | 26 | 18 | 24 | 68 |
| 5 | 30 | 24 | 24 | 78 |
| 6 | 38 | 18 | 18 | 83 |
| 7 | 34 | 24 | 24 | 79 |
| 8 | 32 | 24 | 24 | 77 |
| 9 | 24 | 18 | 24 | 66 |
| 10 | 28 | 30 | 24 | 82 |
| 11 | 24 | 27 | 24 | 75 |
| 12 | 30 | 27 | 24 | 81 |
| 13 | 26 | 24 | 30 | 80 |
| 14 | 26 | 18 | 24 | 68 |
| 15 | 20 | 27 | 12 | 59 |
| 16 | 4 | 12 | 18 | 34 |
| 17 | 12 | 6 | 18 | 36 |
| 18 | 12 | 24 | 18 | 54 |
| 19 | 32 | 24 | 21 | 77 |


| No | Correctness in Part A | Correctness in Part B | Correctness in Part C | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 14 | 15 | 12 | 41 |
| 21 | 22 | 21 | 18 | 61 |
| 22 | 20 | 21 | 15 | 56 |
| 23 | 16 | 18 | 21 | 55 |
| 24 | 22 | 18 | 18 | 58 |
| 25 | 12 | 15 | 12 | 39 |
| 26 | 10 | 9 | 15 | 34 |
| 27 | 8 | 24 | 18 | 50 |
| 28 | 20 | 24 | 24 | 68 |
| 29 | 16 | 21 | 15 | 52 |
| 30 | 18 | 24 | 15 | 57 |
| 31 | 20 | 27 | 21 | 68 |
| 32 | 12 | 27 | 15 | 54 |
| 33 | 26 | 21 | 21 | 68 |
| 34 | 20 | 24 | 24 | 68 |
| 35 | 18 | 24 | 24 | 66 |
| 36 | 16 | 15 | 12 | 43 |
| 37 | 16 | 18 | 15 | 49 |
| 38 | 10 | 12 | 18 | 40 |
| 39 | 30 | 12 | 18 | 60 |
| 40 | 22 | 21 | 24 | 67 |
| 41 | 24 | 30 | 30 | 84 |
| 42 | 22 | 21 | 27 | 70 |
| 43 | 24 | 27 | 27 | 78 |
| 44 | 22 | 27 | 27 | 76 |
| 45 | 28 | 27 | 24 | 79 |
| 46 | 34 | 21 | 21 | 76 |
| 47 | 32 | 30 | 30 | 92 |
| 48 | 28 | 27 | 24 | 79 |
| 49 | 34 | 27 | 27 | 88 |
| 50 | 32 | 30 | 18 | 80 |
| 51 | 38 | 27 | 27 | 92 |
|  | 1062 | 1128 | 1086 | $3276 / 51=64,2$ |

Based on the table above, it is identified the highest score of the test result is 92 and the lowest one is 34 . The accumulative score is 3276 divided by 51 students, that is, 64,2 . While the highest number of correctness in part A is 38 and the lowest one is 4 , the total number of correctness in part A (choosing the right
answer) is 1062 . Furthermore, the highest number of correctness in part B is 30 and the lowest one is 6 , the total number of correctness in part B (changing the sentences to reported speech) is 1128 . And the highest number of correctness in part C is 30 and the lowest one is 12 , the total number of correctness in part C (changing the sentences to direct speech) is 1086 .

The table below explains the categories of the score and its distribution.

Table 4. 9. The Frequency distribution of the Test Result in The Students' Ability in Reported Speech at The Twelfth Grade of Islamic Senior High School 2 Barabai Central Hulu Sungai Regency Academic Year 2009/2010

| No | Category | Score | Frequency | Percentage |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Excellent | $90-100$ | 2 | $3,92 \%$ |
| 2. | Very Good | $80-<90$ | 9 | $17,65 \%$ |
| 3. | Good | $70-<80$ | 12 | $23,52 \%$ |
| 4. | Fair | $60-<70$ | 11 | $12,57 \%$ |
| 5. | Poor | $50-<60$ | 9 | $17,65 \%$ |
| 6. | Very Poor | $0-<50$ | 8 | $15,69 \%$ |

From the table above we can see that there are only 3, $92 \%$ students whose score are around 90 - 100 that classified in the excellent category. There are 9 students ( $17,65 \%$ ) whose score are around $80-<90$ and they are classified in very good category. There are 12 students ( $23,52 \%$ ) whose score around $70-<80$ and they are classified in good category. There are 11 students (12,57\%) whose score around $60-<70$ and they are classified in fair category. There are 9 students (17, $65 \%$ ) whose score around $50-<60$ and they are classified in poor category. Meanwhile, there are 8 students $(15,69 \%)$ whose score around $0-<50$ and they are classified in very poor category.

The data show that degree of the students' ability in reported speech at the twelfth grade of Islamic Senior High School 2 Barabai Central Hulu Sungai Regency academic year 2009/2010 is classified in fair category with the mean score 64,2.

## C. Data Analysis

After presenting the data, the writer analyzed all the obtained data that have been presented earlier in this research. The presented data of the test result on the table 4.8 about the students' ability in reported speech at the twelfth grade of Islamic Senior High School 2 Barabai Central Hulu Sungai Regency Academic Year 2009/2010 show that there are only 2 students $(3,92 \%)$ got excellent score; it is included in very low category. The students that got the very good score are 9 students ( $17,65 \%$ ); it is included in very low category. There are 12 students ( 23 , $52 \%$ ) that got good score; it is included in low category. The students that got fair score are 11 students (21,57\%); it is included in low category. There are 9 students $(17,65 \%)$ that got poor score; it is included in very low category. The students that got the very poor score are 8 students (15,69\%); it is included in very low category.

There are only 2 students include in excellent category because they have score 92 . The first one of them gets score 38 in part A because he has 1 mistake in this part. In part B and C he has similar score; it is 27 because he has 1 mistake each part. It because he less attention how is the pattern about interrogative form.

Meanwhile the second one of them get score 32 in part A because he has 4 mistakes, it because he confused to choose which the right answer is. But in part B and C he can answer perfectly and get score 30 each part.

There are 9 students whose score 80 until 88 . Only 1 student whose score 88 that get score 34 in part A , it because he confused to choose the right answer especially about the tenses. In part B and C he has similar score; it is 27 because he has 1 mistake each part. It because he less attention how is the pattern about interrogative form.

There is only 1 student who get score 85 that get score 34 in part A , it because she is not sure to choose the right answer especially about interrogative form. In part B she get score 27 and in part C her score 24 .

Students whose get score 84,83 , and 82 are 1 student each score. For score 84 , his score is 24 in part A (has 8 mistakes) but he can answer perfectly in part B and C gets score 30 each part. For score 83, her score is 38 in part A (has 1 mistake). Gets score 27 in part B and 18 in part C, it because she can not answer all questions related with interrogative form. For score 82, her score is 28 in part A (has 6 mistakes). She gets score 30 because can answer all questions in part B but in part C she has 2 mistakes (her score is 24 ).

Students whose get score 81 and 80 are 2 students each score. For score 81, they have similar score in each part, but have different mistakes in each number. In part A get score 30, in part B get score 27 and in part C get score 24. For score 80,1 student has score 32 in part A and gets score 30 in part B because
he can answer well all the questions but has 4 mistakes in part C and get score only 18, it because he has trouble in interrogative form. 1 student other, get score 26 in part A, get score 24 in part B, and get score 30 in part $C$ because she can answer perfectly this part.

There are 12 students whose score $79-70$. Three of them whose score is 79. Two of them whose similar mistakes that get score 28 in part A, get score 24 in part B and get score 27 in part C. They make mistakes about interrogative form. 1 student whose score 79 other whose score 34 in part A, get score 21 in part B and get score 24 in part C. She makes mistakes because she is not sure about the tenses form.

There are 2 students whose score 78 . One student gets score 24 (has 8 mistakes) in part A and gets score 27 in part B and C because he can not answer 1 question each part. Meanwhile the second one of them get score 30 in part A and get score 24 in part B and C because she can not answer 2 questions each part, especially about interrogative form.

There are 3 students whose score 77. One of them gets score 32 in part A (has 4 mistakes), gets score 21 in part B and gets score 24 in part C. Two of them get score 32 in part A , get score 24 in part C , and get score 21 in part C (her mistakes are about interrogative and command form).

There are 2 students whose score 76. The first one of them gets score 34 in part A because he has 3 mistake in this part. In part B and C he has similar score, it is 21 because he has 3 mistake each part. It because he less attention how is the
pattern about interrogative and auxiliary form. Meanwhile the second one of them get score 22 in part A because he has 9 mistakes, it because he confused to choose which the right answer is. But in part B and C he only can not answer 1 question each part and gets score 27.

There is only 1 student who get score 75 that get score 24 in part A , it because she is not sure to choose the right answer. In part B she get score 27 and in part C her score 24 . And 1 student whose score 70 that get score 22 in part A , get 21 in part $B$ and get score 27 in part $C$.

There are 11 students whose score $68-60$. There are 6 students whose score 68 that 3 students get score 26 and 3 students get score 20. In part B they get varieties score from $27-18(27,24,21$, and 18$)$. In part C 4 students get score 24 and 2 students get 21 . Students whose get score 67,61 and 60 are 1 student each score. For score 67, his score is 22 in part A, 21 in part B and 24 in part C. For student whose score 61 , in part A gets score 22, 21 in part B and 18 in part C. for student whose score 60: in part A gets score 30, in part B gets score 12 and 18 in part C. There are 2 students whose score 66 that get score 24 in part C, but different score in part A and B. One of them gets score18 in part A and 24 in part B, meanwhile the second one gets score 24 in part A and 18 in part B.

Students whose get score $59,58,57,56$ and 55 are 1 student each score. For score 59 , her score in part A is 20 , in part B is 27 and 12 part C . It because she thinks changing reported speech to direct speech is more difficult than changing direct speech to reported speech. For score 58 , gets score 22 in part A and gets
score 18 , it is similar score in part B and C. For score 57 , he gets score 18 in part A, 24 in part B and 15 in part C. For score 56, student gets score 20 in part A, in part B is 21 and 15 in part C. For score 55 , gets score 16 in part A, 18 in part B and 21 in part C.

There are 2 students whose score 54 . The first one gets score 12 in part A, it because she thinks multiple-choice test is more difficult than conversion form. In part B she gets 24 and only gets 18 in part C, it because she has trouble in changing direct speech to reported speech. And the second one also gets 12 in part A, gets 27 in part B and gets 15 in part C. He has similar reason with the first one above.

Students whose get score 52 and 50 are 1 student each score. For score 52, he only gets score 16 in part A. In part B gets score 21 and only 15 in part C. For score 50 , his score is 8 in part A, 24 in part B and 18 in part C. It because she thinks multiple-choice test is more difficult than conversion form.

There are 8 students get score in very poor category. They include in this category because their score is very low in every parts of test. Their score for part A is under 11 in average. In part B, their highest score is 18 and the lowest score is 4 . In part C they only get score 18,15 and 12 .

So, from the data analyzed above, it can be conclude that the degree ot the the students' ability in reported speech at the twelfth grade of Islamic Senior High School 2 Barabai Central Hulu Sungai Regency academic year 2009/2010 is classified in fair category.

To know the frequency of students' ability that most unable in choosing the right answer, change direct to reported speech, from reported to direct speech in part $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C .

Table 4. 10. Description about the Frequency of The Students' Ability in Reported Speech at The Twelfth Grade of Islamic Senior High School 2 Barabai Central Hulu Sungai Regency Academic Year 2009/2010

| No | Number of Question | Frequency | Percentage |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1 A | 28 | $56 \%$ |
| 2. | 6 A | 27 | $54 \%$ |
| 3. | 11 A | 26 | $52 \%$ |
| 4. | 12 A | 31 | $62 \%$ |
| 5. | 14 A | 27 | $54 \%$ |
| 6. | 2B | 19 | $38 \%$ |
| 7. | 4B | 16 | $32 \%$ |
| 8. | 6 B | 20 | $40 \%$ |
| 9. | 9 B | 30 | $60 \%$ |
| 10. | 6 C | 19 | $38 \%$ |
| 11. | 7 C | 20 | $40 \%$ |
| 12. | 8 C | 29 | $58 \%$ |
| 13. | 10 C | 23 | $46 \%$ |

Based on the table above, it is identified that $56 \%$ of students make mistakes in question 1A. There are 27 students make mistakes in question 6A and 14 A . It can be stated that the students 6 A at rate of $54 \%$. There are $52 \%$ of students make mistakes in question 11 A and can be stated that students make mistake in question 12 A as much as $60 \%$. It because they do not choose the right answer (about pattern of interrogative form). They do not use if or whether for reported speech, they still use did. The question is:

Akbar said to me, "Do you close the windows at night?" The indirect speech form is: Akbar asked me $\qquad$ at night.
a. that closed the windows
c. if I close the windows
b. whether I closed the windows
d. do I closed the windows

It can be seen that 19 of students make mistake in question 2B to the number $38 \%$, and 16 of students make mistake in question 4 B as much as $32 \%$, and 20 of students make mistake in question 6B at the rate of $40 \%$. There are 30 of students make mistake in question 9B as much as $60 \%$. It because they forget to write whether or if for reported speech in interrogative form, some of them still use was moreover the another students do not answer this question. The question is: ("Are you hungry?" Ana asked me.).

At part C, there are 19 of students make mistake in question 6C at the rate of $38 \%$ and 20 students make question in 7 C it is about $40 \%$. Also identified that $58 \%$ of students make mistake in question 8 C , it is because they forget to put do before subject in direct speech. The question is: (He asked which one of fruit I liked best). Almost of them answer: He asked me, "Which one of fruit you liked best?". The right answer is: He asked me, "Which one of fruit do you like best?" There are 23 of students make mistake in question 10C as much as $46 \%$. It because they also forget the pattern of reported speech in interrogative form.

The writer can conclude that the students have to pay attention more about pattern of interrogative form. Total of their mistake in 13 questions are 315 mistakes. There are many reasons of why the students make mistakes. First, the students not sure to choose the right answer, the changing of the time, tenses,
subject, and auxiliary. Second, they less careful to put that, if, or whether for reported speech. In addition, the students make mistakes because they forget the lesson and do not study over again that they have learned in previous day.

