



KEMENTERIAN AGAMA REPUBLIK INDONESIA  
UNIVERSITAS ISLAM NEGERI ANTASARI BANJARMASIN

Jalan A. Yani Km. 4.5 Banjarmasin 70235  
Telepon (0511) 3257627 Faksimili (0511) 3254344  
e-mail: info@uin-antasari.ac.id website: www.uin.antasari.ac.id

---

**SURAT KETERANGAN HASIL UJI KEMIRIPAN**

No: 217/Un.14/IV/TV-CP/05/2023

Anggota Tim Verifikasi dan Validasi Karya Ilmiah Dosen UIN Antasari di bawah ini,

Nama Verifikator : Fajrul Ilmi, S.Pd.I., M.Sy  
Jabatan : Anggota TIM

Telah melaksanakan uji kemiripan terhadap karya ilmiah yang berjudul “ **The Effectiveness of English Learning Using Dynamic Discussion Model in Banjarbaru Senior High Schools**” karya:

Nama : Dr. Ridha Fadillah, S.Pd., M.Ed.  
NIP/NIDN : 197411082005011006  
Pangkat/Gol : Penata / III d  
Jabatan : Lektor

Berdasarkan hasil pemeriksaan dengan menggunakan [www.turnitin.com](http://www.turnitin.com) kemiripan karya di atas adalah 17%.

Demikian surat keterangan ini dibuat untuk dapat dipergunakan sebagaimana mestinya.

Banjarmasin, 1 MEI 2023  
Koordinator Tim,



**Muhammad Iqbal, S. Th.I., MSI., Ph. D**  
NIP 197709062008011011



# THE EFFECTIVENESS OF ENGLISH LEARNING

*by*

---

**Submission date:** 28-Apr-2023 10:01AM (UTC+0700)

**Submission ID:** 2077835156

**File name:** THE\_EFFECTIVENESS\_OF\_ENGLISH\_LEARNING\_81-87.pdf (206.66K)

**Word count:** 3624

**Character count:** 20789

# THE EFFECTIVENESS OF ENGLISH LEARNING USING DYNAMIC DISCUSSION MODEL IN BANJARBARU SENIOR HIGH SCHOOLS

Ridha Fadillah

IAIN Antasari Banjarmasin, South Kalimantan, Indonesia  
ridhafadillah@iain-antasari.ac.id

**Abstract:** This article is the report of the experimental research on 34 second (2<sup>nd</sup>) grade students of two senior high schools in Banjarbaru. This experimental research is the third phase of Educational R& D. The phases are exploration phase, development phase, and testing phase. The aim of this experimental research was to better understand the effectiveness of English learning model through negative anxiety reduction based on constructivism theory. Dynamic discussion model was applied on experiment class, while conventional model was on control class. Observation guide and Foreign Language Classroom Anxiety Scale questionnaire were used as instruments in collecting data. The collected data were analyzed statistically. The results showed that the students' English anxiety at pre-anxiety test in the experiment class and control class were at high level. The students' English anxiety at the post-anxiety test in the control class was at a high level while the students' English anxiety at post-anxiety test in the experiment class was at a moderate level. This experimental research indicated that there was a significant difference of effectiveness English learning model in the experiment class and the control class. The result showed that English learning model in experiment class was more effective than the other one. Dynamic discussion model were able to reduce students' negative anxiety in English class.

**Keywords:** *anxiety, dynamic discussion, effectiveness*

## INTRODUCTION

This experimental research is the third phase of three phases in educational research and development. The first phase was exploration to study the existing model used by the English teachers, analyze the need of the developing model, and study the related literature. The second phase was model development, it was to develop and trying out the prototype model. The third phase was model testing or validating phase by conducting experimental research. In this phase, the learning model used was English learning model through negative anxiety

reduction based on constructivism theory.

The English learning model based on negative anxiety reduction through constructivism theory has several special characteristics namely teacher as student's anxiety reducer, concerned with student's negative anxiety, emphasis on social interaction, cooperative, preparing the student's preparation, teacher as facilitator, teacher as motivator, and creative. The elaborations of characteristics that must be considered in the development of this model are as follows:

1. Teacher as student's anxiety reducer

The role of the teacher as an anxiety reduction

is needed in learning English. Teachers are expected to be able to create a pleasant atmosphere of the classroom by being friendly <sup>12</sup>the students.

2. Concerned with student's negative anxiety

Teacher is aw<sup>17</sup> of the anxiety in learning English. The three components of foreign language anxiety are communication apprehension, fear of negative evaluation, and test anxiety. Teachers realize that these three components experienced by students in foreign language classes and they influence negatively to the student achievement.

3. Emphasis on social interaction

Good interaction between teacher-student and student-student is the basis for the creation of a comfortable and not stressful classroom environment. Interaction in the classroom is as learning for students to acquire good social skills.

4. Cooperative

Students work and learn together to achieve learning goals. In a group, students have different roles so the students' activeness keeps happening. Positive interdependence among students, promotive interaction, individual and group accountability, and social skill are the elements of cooperative learning.

5. Preparing the student's preparation

Teachers provide students with opportunities to acquire both learning material preparation and mental preparation, so that students become more active in the foreign language classroom. Good preparation can increase the student self-confidence. Firstly, students connect their life experiences with the learning material as prior knowledge, their ideas is expressed in paired discussion, after getting adequate preparation, discussion is continued on 4-6 students discussion per group, then class discussion is formed.

6. Teacher as facilitator

Teachers facilitate students in acquiring new knowledge. The new knowledge for students can be acquired through social

interactions in which teachers facilitate the learning process. Cooperative learning that emphasizes on social interaction is important thing in teaching and learning process.

7. Teacher as motivator

Teachers encourage students to always use English in the classroom as an English learning/training medium before applied in the real life.

8. Creative

Students express thoughts/ideas widely related to the learning material, so that student creativity and activeness are more and more increased.

After considering the characteristics above, phases in English learning model based on negative anxiety reduction through constructivism theory is discussed. The phases in English learning model based on negative anxiety reduction through constructivism theory are as follows.

The developed model consists of 3 learning activities namely introduction, main, and closing activities. From the three activities, it is applied 6 phases in cooperative<sup>11</sup> learning namely (1) presenting goals and set; (2) presenting information; (3) organizing students into learning teams; (4) assisting in team work and study; (5) testing on the materials; and (6) providing recognition. Of these six phases, it will be elaborated the details of learning activities. They are:

1. Opening

In this activity, phase I on cooperative learning that is presenting goals and set is used. The details of learning activities are conveying the ethical values, motivating the students in order to use English without feeling anxious, doing brainstorming, conveying learning objective, conveying the importance of the learning material.

2. Main

This activity is carried out systematically through phases II, III, and IV in cooperative learning namely presenting information, organizing students into learning teams, and assisting in team work and study. The

details of learning activities are explaining the concept of the material, facilitating the students with pair discussion, followed with four to six in group discussions and a class discussion.

For pair discussion, a student with his or her couple discusses the learning material cooperatively, one student is as questioner, and the other is as answerer, these positions should be interchanged. Then four to six students in a group discussion is the next activity. The students discuss the learning material cooperatively, each student has a different task or a role; for example one student is as questioner, one student is as answerer, one student is as debater of the answerer or moderator, and one student is as conclusion maker. In cooperative group, every student has different task or role so every student will be active in learning. Results of group discussion are presented by the groups in their desks so class discussion is formed.

### 3. Closing

The closing is done systematically through phases V and VI on cooperative learning that is testing on the materials and providing recognition. The details of learning activities are doing reinforcement and feedback, making conclusions, evaluating, providing recognition and giving homework.

## METHOD

The research in this step was conducted through experimental research. The design was quasi-experimental design where the researcher gave treatment to research subjects to better understand the influence of the treatment, specifically by using nonequivalent control group design. The given treatment was English learning model through negative anxiety reduction based on constructivism theory to experiment group and conventional English learning to control group.

The research was conducted in October 2012. Population in this research was second grade students in two senior high schools in Banjarbaru South Kalimantan. The samples

of the research were students from one class second grade students in those schools. The researcher determined the schools randomly. The researcher determined SMU Qardhan Hasana and SMU Bethel as research location, one class from Qardhan Hasana was experiment group and one class from Bethel was control group. There were 21 students in experiment group and 13 students in control group. Beside the students, two English teachers from those schools were the subjects of this research.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

From observation results in control class and experiment class which was given the treatment English learning model through negative anxiety reduction based on constructivism theory in experimental research, it could be seen the effectiveness of the developed model.

The measurement of learning effectiveness in control class and experiment class was obtained from observation sheet through three rubrics to be assessed in terms of class activity; they were class management, activity of discussion, and cooperative attitude which was analyzed descriptively by finding the sum of scores.

In this part, the researcher described the learning activity both control class and experiment class. From control class, it was founded that the learning model used was conventional model. Learning approach was centered to the teacher. Firstly, the class was praying, and checking the students' presence as the opening activity, directly followed by explaining the concept of learning material and doing the given exercises in the book. Finally, the teacher asked the students to answer and corrected them together. From rubric of class management, it was noted that the scores obtained for the meetings were less than 24.5 as the lowest category.

Scores for rubric of discussion and cooperative attitude were not obtained because there were not discussions in those meetings. It fits well with Goser (2008) who finds in his study that teachers do not apply a systematic strategy, method or technique in teaching a foreign language and they give lessons with traditional methods. He

also adds that the teachers measure the students' acquisition level of language skill with oral tests, performance homework assignments, written exams and tests. Regardless of their measurement means, the first thing that the teachers look for is students' recalling degree of the information taught (cognitive proficiency). It is obvious that teacher in control class with conventional model did not aware to students' language anxiety, so this particular model could not bring the students to the activeness in the classroom because it could not reduce the students' language anxiety.

On the contrary, from experiment class, the learning model used was English learning model through negative anxiety reduction based on constructivism theory (Dydi model). Learning approach was centered to the students. The learning activity was divided into three activity; they were opening, main, and closing activities. These parts of activities followed the six phases in cooperative learning; they were present goals and set, present information, organize students into learning teams, assist team work and study, test on the materials, and provide recognition (Suprijono, 2011: 65). Then these six phases were elaborated into details activities; the first phase was conveying the ethical values, motivating the students in order to use English without anxious feeling, doing brainstorming, conveying learning objective, conveying the

important of the learning material, the second phase was explaining the concept of material, the third and fourth phases were facilitating the students with pair discussion, followed with four to six in group discussion and class discussion, the fifth and sixth phases were doing reinforcement and feedback, making conclusion, evaluating and providing recognition. From rubric of class management, it was noted that the scores obtained for the meetings were more than 45.51 as the highest category.

In experiment class, the first meeting showed scores 80 for discussion and 80 for cooperative attitude, while the last meeting showed the highest rating 90 for discussion and 100 for cooperative attitude. The scores were the result of evaluation and discussion between the English teacher and the researcher. From the observation, the developed English learning model through negative anxiety reduction based on constructivism theory was more effective than the other model (conventional model). It could reduce the students' English negative anxiety so the students' activeness indicated increasing in English classroom. English classroom atmosphere became unstressed and pleasure. Students' cooperative attitude and activeness in discussion increased in every meeting as positive effect of students' negative anxiety reduction in English class.

**Table 1. Results of the Independent Sample T-test by Class Differences**

		F	Sig.	T	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference
Overall Anxiety	Equal variances assumed	3.998	.054	7.999	.000	.69414
	Equal variances not assumed			7.029	.000	.69414
Communication Apprehension	Equal variances assumed	1.637	.210	5.679	.000	.66447
	Equal variances not assumed			5.243	.000	.66447
Fear of Negative Evaluation	Equal variances assumed	.310	.582	7.192	.000	.65275
	Equal variances not assumed			6.581	.000	.65275
Test Anxiety	Equal variances assumed	.148	.703	7.093	.000	.71722

Table 1 show that there was a significant difference between control class anxiety and experiment class anxiety for communication apprehension. From the Mean of control class anxiety and experiment class anxiety obtained, it showed that control class was more anxious than experiment class in English communication, English negative evaluation, and in English test.

So, the difference in English language anxiety between control class and experiment class occurred on the three types of English anxiety; communication apprehension, fear of negative evaluation and test anxiety; the differences English language anxiety between control class and experiment class was found significantly. For these reasons, it can be concluded that there is the difference in English language anxiety by class as a whole.

The researcher assumes that the reasons for the results can be explained in two factors, they are the appropriate election of English learning model and the role of learning materials. In terms of the appropriate election of English learning model, Wörde (2003) seems to fit perfectly: "A relaxed classroom environment was cited as key in reducing anxiety and is likely related to how the teacher conducted the class. The participants stressed that anxiety decreases when teachers make the class interesting and fun". This is in line with Tinjacá & Contreras (2008).

In this study, English learning model through negative anxiety reduction based on constructivism theory was indicated more effective than conventional model. The data findings from this study indicate that the mean scores of students in the control group at the post-anxiety test level were as high as their mean scores at the pre-anxiety test level, while the mean scores of students' anxiety in the experiment group at the post-anxiety test level were lower than their mean scores at the pre-anxiety test level. This implies that when English learning model through negative anxiety reduction based on constructivism theory called Dydi model was used to teach the students, the model brought about reduction in students' level of English

anxiety which was evidenced in the reduction of their mean scores at the post-anxiety test level.

This positive effect of Dydi model on students' anxiety for learning English was as a result of its positive interdependence attribute, which made it possible for students to see that their success is dependent on their contributions, inclusion, and success of the other students in the group. In view of this, students were able to exchange ideas on given tasks among themselves and this made it possible for students with low intellectual ability and slow learners to gain from members of their groups. Hence, they became more confident and felt secured participating actively in English classroom. Besides, promotive interaction as one of basic elements that makes cooperative learning more productive than competitive and individual learning (Huda, 2011) writes that students are expected to actively help and support one another. Members share resources and support and encourage each other's effort to learn. It is interaction in a group where all group members encourage and help each other to achieve a goal (Smith, 1996 in Barkley et al., 2005). It is in line with Vygotsky (1978) in Pritchard & Woollard (2010) who emphasizes that knowledge is built and constructed mutually; interaction with others can open the chance for learners to evaluate and fix their understanding when they face other people opinion and when they participate in finding the understanding. On the other hand, when the conventional model was used, it did not bring about reduction in the English anxiety level of the students in the group which was evidenced in their higher mean scores at the post-anxiety test level.

Consequently, student's English anxiety and English teacher role play an important role in the study of English. English teacher could be a student's anxiety reducer when the English teacher could determine and develop the appropriate English model for English class. Dydi model which was the English learning model referring to cooperative learning was one of many models that can be used in running English class. Since

cooperative learning has been found to have positive effect on the reduction of students' English anxiety according to the findings of this study and those of Nagahashi (2007), Tsu (2008), Yan (2009), Suwantarathip & Wichadee (2010), and Swain & Miccoli (1994), they prove that cooperative learning approach is appropriate to implement in English classroom in order to reduce student's foreign language anxiety. So, English teachers should be encouraged to incorporate cooperative learning methods into their methods of teaching so that students could develop positive interest and enhanced their self-confidence in English, which would bring their activeness in English classroom. Matsumoto (2008: 58) adds that one reason in using cooperation in the language classroom is that it makes students feel less anxiety and less stress. He suggests that the teacher should act as a counselor, make the students to encourage each other in the group, especially the students who are suffering from anxiety and competitiveness, and give them a sense of belonging, thus enhancing their learning.

In terms of the role of learning materials, learning materials are important because they can increase student activeness. For example, an interesting topic and worksheet might provide a student with important opportunities to practice a new skill gained in classroom. This process aided in the learning process by allowing the student to explore the knowledge independently. In this study, learning materials were not depended on only from one source of text book. Teacher could determine the learning material from wherever he or she wanted but it should refer to the determined curriculum. For example, for second (2<sup>nd</sup>) grade senior high school had to refer to the three genres; reports, narratives and analytical expositions. In Dydi model, the topic determined by teacher could be interesting because the students discussed the topic freely, they might explore the information

deeply and widely as background knowledge for them to prepare themselves to the larger group discussion. The learning materials in developed syllabus and lesson plans were just samples, but they might be changed depends on what teacher and students need.

As a whole, this study indicated that there was a significant difference of effectiveness English learning model in experiment class and control class, which was English learning model through negative anxiety reduction based on constructivism theory was more effective than conventional model, this results supported the conclusions reached by several investigators in earlier studies (Nagahashi, 2007; Tsu, 2008; Yan, 2009; Suwantarathip & Wichadee, 2010; and Swain & Miccoli, 1994).

## CONCLUSION

In this research, the results showed that the students' English anxiety at pre-anxiety test in the experiment class and control class were at high level. The students' English anxiety at the post-anxiety test in the control class was at a high level. In the mean time, the students' English anxiety at post-anxiety test in the experiment class was at a moderate level. This experimental research indicated that there was a significant difference of effectiveness English learning model in the experiment class and the control class. English learning model through negative anxiety reduction based on constructivism theory to experiment group was effective in reducing students' English anxiety in English classroom. There was a significant difference between control class anxiety and experiment class anxiety for communication apprehension, fear of negative evaluation and test anxiety. It showed that control class was more anxious than experiment class in English communication, negative evaluation, and English test.



## REFERENCES

- Barkley, E. F., Cross, K. P., & Major C. H. (2005). *Collaborative Learning Techniques: A Handbook for College Faculty*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Goser, A. (2008). Teaching Strategies and Class Practices of the Teachers who Teach Turkish as a Foreign Language (A Qualitative Research). *Journal of Social Sciences*, 4, (4), 288-298.
- Huda, M. (2011). *Cooperative Learning: Metode, Teknik, Struktur dan Model Penerapan*. Yogyakarta: PustakaPelajar.
- Matsumoto, Y. (2008). *Investigating Classroom Dynamics in Japanese University EFL Classrooms*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. University of Birmingham, England.
- Nagahashi, T. L. (2007). Techniques for reducing foreign language anxiety: Results of a successful intervention study. In *Annual Research Report on General Education*, Akita University, No. 9, 53-60.
- Pritchard, A. & Woollard, J. (2010). *Psychology for the classroom: Constructivism and Social Learning*. New York: Routledge.
- Suprijono, A. (2011). *Cooperative Learning. Teori dan Aplikasi PAIKEM*. Yogyakarta: PustakaPelajar.
- Suwantharathip, O. & Wichadee, S. (2010). The Impacts of Cooperative Learning on Anxiety and Proficiency in an EFL Class. *Journal of College Teaching and Learning*, 7 (11), 51-58.
- Swain, M. & Miccoli, L. S. (1994). Learning in a Content-Based, Collaboratively Structure Course: The Experience of an Adult ESL Learner. *TESL Canada Journal*, 12 (1), 15-28.
- Tinjacá, R.A.B. & Contreras, R.A. (2008). Overcoming Fear of Speaking in English through Meaningful Activities: A Study with Teenagers. *PROFILE*, 9, 23-46.
- Tsu, Y.A. (2008). *Learners' Anxiety and Behaviors in a Cooperative Language Learning Classroom*. Unpublished Master Thesis. Ming Chuan University.
- Wörde, R.V. (2003). Students' Perspectives on Foreign Language Anxiety. *Inquiry*, 8, 1. Retrieved January 16, 2008 from <http://www.vccaedu.org/inquiry/inquiry-spring2003/i-81-worde.html>
- Yan, Y. (2009). *An Examination of the Relationship between Foreign Language Anxiety and Cooperative Learning*. Unpublished seminar paper. University of Wisconsin-Platteville.

# THE EFFECTIVENESS OF ENGLISH LEARNING

## ORIGINALITY REPORT

17%

SIMILARITY INDEX

13%

INTERNET SOURCES

5%

PUBLICATIONS

10%

STUDENT PAPERS

## PRIMARY SOURCES

1	<a href="http://eprints.unm.ac.id">eprints.unm.ac.id</a> Internet Source	2%
2	<a href="http://files.eric.ed.gov">files.eric.ed.gov</a> Internet Source	2%
3	Submitted to liberty Student Paper	2%
4	<a href="http://ejournal.uin-malang.ac.id">ejournal.uin-malang.ac.id</a> Internet Source	2%
5	<a href="http://repository.uinsu.ac.id">repository.uinsu.ac.id</a> Internet Source	2%
6	<a href="http://garuda.kemdikbud.go.id">garuda.kemdikbud.go.id</a> Internet Source	1%
7	Submitted to North West University Student Paper	1%
8	<a href="http://lux.leuphana.de">lux.leuphana.de</a> Internet Source	1%
9	Submitted to Durban University of Technology Student Paper	1%

10	thesis.swu.ac.th Internet Source	1 %
11	Submitted to Jones International University Student Paper	1 %
12	scholarworks.moreheadstate.edu Internet Source	1 %
13	Submitted to The University of the South Pacific Student Paper	1 %
14	Submitted to Universiti Teknologi Mara Student Paper	1 %
15	Submitted to William Carey University Student Paper	1 %
16	www.scribd.com Internet Source	1 %
17	Submitted to Victoria University Student Paper	1 %

Exclude quotes  On

Exclude matches  < 1%

Exclude bibliography  On