## CHAPTER IV

## FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

## A. Findings

The research is conducted toward the final examination test at Language Development Center in IAIN Antasari Banjarmasin. The total of items are 50 questions. Those are consist of 30 multiple choices, 10 True or False test, and 10 reading comprehension short text (see appendix), but this research only focus to multiple choice items. The test was held on Saturday, 16 December 2015 with the given time 120 minutes.

The analysis quality of test items in final examination at Language Development Center of IAIN Antasari Banjarmasin 2015/2016 based on validity, reliability, item difficulty, item discrimination, and distractor efficiency will be described as follow:

1. Test Validity

The items' items validity count by using Biserial Point Correlation formula:

$$
\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{pbis}}=\frac{M p-M t}{S t} \sqrt{\frac{p}{q}}
$$

Sample of students in this research are $(\mathrm{N}=288)$ students in significant $1 \%$ and $5 \%$. If $r_{\text {pbis }}>r_{\text {table }}$, the item is valid. based on the data on appendix, validity for item number 1 is :
$\mathrm{Mp}=17,74$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Mt }=17,89 \\
& \mathrm{SD}=4,422 \\
& \mathrm{p} \quad=0,163 \\
& \mathrm{q} \quad=0,837 \\
& \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{pbis}}=\frac{17,745-17,899}{4,422} \sqrt{\frac{0,1632}{0,8368}} \\
& r_{\text {pbis }}=\frac{-0,154}{4,422} \sqrt{0,195} \\
& =-0,035(0,442) \\
& =-0,015
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the biserial point correlation for test item number 1 is $-0,015$. On the table of "r" Product Moment (Madeamin, 2013) with significance $1 \%$ and $5 \%$ for 288 subjects are not available. Thus, to determine $r_{\text {table }}$ for $\mathrm{N}=288$ which exist between $200-300$, the writter used Interpolation Linier Formula as follow:
$\frac{y-y 1}{y 2-y 2}=\frac{x-x 1}{x 2-x 1}$
$1 \% \quad: 0,181 \quad 1 \% \quad: 0,148$
$5 \% \quad: 0,148 \quad 5 \% \quad: 0,113$
$\mathrm{N}=200$
$\mathrm{N}=300$

From the data above, we know:
$X=200=0,191$
$\mathrm{X} 1=288=?$
$Y 1=300$
$X 2=300=0,148$
$Y 2=288$
$\frac{200-288}{300-288}=\frac{0,181-x 1}{0,148-x 1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{-88}{12}=\frac{0,181-x 1}{0,148-x 1} \\
&-88(0,148-x 1)=12(0,181-x 1) \\
&-13,024+88 x 1=2,172-12 \mathrm{x} \\
& 100 \mathrm{x}=15,196 \\
& \mathrm{x}=\frac{15,196}{100} \\
&=0,15196 \\
&=0,152
\end{aligned}
$$

So, "r" table in significance $1 \%$ for $\mathrm{N}=288$ is 0,152 . Furthermore, " $r$ " table in significance $5 \%$ for $\mathrm{N}=288$ using the same formula is 0,116 . Biserial point correlation for item number 1 is $-0,015<r_{\text {table }}$ for significance $1 \%$ and $5 \%$. Thus, item number 1 is invalid. Next, the results of next items (Item number 2 to item number 31) use the same formula as shown in the following table below:

Table 4.1 The Results of Test Validity Analysis

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Items } \\ \text { Number } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{pbis}}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Result | Interpretation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | - 0,015 | $1 \%=0,152$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}<\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Invalid |
|  |  | $5 \%=0,116$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}<\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Invalid |
| 2 | 0,326 | $1 \%=0,152$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
|  |  | $5 \%=0,116$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
| 3 | 0,465 | $1 \%=0,152$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
|  |  | $5 \%=0,116$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
| 4 | 0,338 | $1 \%=0,152$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
|  |  | $5 \%=0,116$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
| 5 | 0,467 | $1 \%=0,152$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
|  |  | $5 \%=0,116$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
| 6 | 0,469 | $1 \%=0,152$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
|  |  | $5 \%=0,116$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
| 7 | 0,344 | $1 \%=0,152$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
|  |  | $5 \%=0,116$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |


| 8 | 0,246 | $1 \%=0,152$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{pbis}}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $5 \%=0,116$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{pbis}}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
| 9 | 0,168 | $1 \%=0,152$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{pbis}}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
|  |  | $5 \%=0,116$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
| 10 | 0,370 | $1 \%=0,152$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
|  |  | $5 \%=0,116$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{pbis}}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
| 11 | 0,284 | $1 \%=0,152$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
|  |  | $5 \%=0,116$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
| 12 | 0,448 | $1 \%=0,152$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
|  |  | $5 \%=0,116$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
| 13 | 0,393 | $1 \%=0,152$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
|  |  | $5 \%=0,116$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
| 14 | 0,243 | $1 \%=0,152$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{pbis}}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
|  |  | $5 \%=0,116$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
| 15 | 0,232 | $1 \%=0,152$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
|  |  | $5 \%=0,116$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
| 16 | 0,156 | $1 \%=0,152$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
|  |  | $5 \%=0,116$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
| 17 | 0,337 | $1 \%=0,152$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
|  |  | $5 \%=0,116$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
| 18 | 0,431 | $1 \%=0,152$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
|  |  | $5 \%=0,116$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
| 19 | 0,476 | $1 \%=0,152$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
|  |  | $5 \%=0,116$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
| 20 | 0,279 | $1 \%=0,152$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
|  |  | $5 \%=0,116$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
| 21 | 0,481 | $1 \%=0,152$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
|  |  | $5 \%=0,116$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
| 22 | 0,336 | $1 \%=0,152$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
|  |  | $5 \%=0,116$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
| 23 | 0,455 | $1 \%=0,152$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{pbis}}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
|  |  | $5 \%=0,116$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
| 24 | 0,176 | $1 \%=0,152$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
|  |  | $5 \%=0,116$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
| 25 | -0,0523 | $1 \%=0,152$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}<\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Invalid |
|  |  | $5 \%=0,116$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}<\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Invalid |
| 26 | 0,526 | $1 \%=0,152$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
|  |  | $5 \%=0,116$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
| 27 | 0,039 | $1 \%=0,152$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}<\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Invalid |
|  |  | $5 \%=0,116$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}<\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Invalid |
| 28 | 0,435 | $1 \%=0,152$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
|  |  | $5 \%=0,116$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
| 29 | 0,261 | $1 \%=0,152$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{pbis}}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
|  |  | $5 \%=0,116$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |


| 30 | 0,593 | $1 \%=0,152$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {pbis }}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $5 \%=0,116$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{pbis}}>\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ | Valid |

Based on the data above, the validity of the test can be described specifically in the table as follow:

Table 4.2 The Percentages of Test Validity Analysis

| Criteria <br> of <br> Validity | Number <br> of Items | Total | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | $2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14$, <br> $15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24$, <br> $26,28,29,30$ | 27 | $90 \%$ |
| Invalid | $1,25,27$ | 3 | $10 \%$ |

Based on the table above, it is known that the test items in English final examination at Language Development Center IAIN Banjarmasin

Academic year 2015-2016 are $90 \%$ or 27 items (2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, $10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,26,28,29$, and 30 ) as valid items and there $10 \%$ or only 3 items ( 1,25 , and 27 ) as invalid items in significance $1 \%$ and 5\%

## 2. Test Reliability

The result of reliability for English final examination at Language Development Center IAIN Antasari Banjarmasin is 0,743 . The data is taken from this calculation:
$\mathrm{r} \frac{11}{22}=\frac{n \Sigma x 1 \times 2-(\Sigma x 1)(\Sigma x 2)}{\sqrt{\left.n \Sigma x 1^{2}-(\Sigma x)^{2}\right)\left(n \Sigma x 2^{2}-(\Sigma x 2)^{2}\right)}}$
$\mathrm{r} \frac{11}{22}=\frac{288(23947)-(2786)(2369)}{\sqrt{\left(288(28380)-\left(2786^{2}\right)\left(288(21609)-(2369)^{2}\right)\right.}}$
$\mathrm{r} \frac{11}{22}=\frac{6896736-660034}{\sqrt{(8173440-7761796)(6223392-5612161)}}$
$r \frac{11}{22}=\frac{296702}{\sqrt{(411644)(611231)}}$
$r \frac{11}{22}=\frac{296702}{\sqrt{251609573764}}$
$\mathrm{r} \frac{11}{22}=\frac{296702}{501606,99}$
$r \frac{11}{22}=0,5915029214$
$\mathrm{r} \frac{11}{22}=0,591$
After that, the next step is using the next formula to find the coeficient of reliability:
$\mathrm{r}_{11}=\frac{2 r_{\frac{11}{22}}^{1+r \frac{11}{22}}}{1}$
$\mathrm{r}_{11}=\frac{2(0,591)}{1+0,591}$
$\mathrm{r}_{11}=\frac{1,182}{1,591}$
$\mathrm{r}_{11}=0,7429289755$
$\mathrm{r}_{11}=0,743$
Based on the calculation about index of reliability of SpearmanBrown with ANATES, the result of reliability showed that the coeficient of reliability of the English test for group A Language Development Center IAIN Antasari Banjarmasin is 0.743 for Multiple Choice test. It means that the English final examination at Language Development Center IAIN Antasari Banjarmasin has high level of reliability because the coefisien of reliability $0,743>0,700$. In other words, the test items in English final examination at Language Development Center IAIN Antasari are reliable.
3. Item Difficulty

Test items which are accepted have index of difficulty $0.30-0.70$. As a result, test items with item difficulty $<0.30$ cannot be accepted because the test items are too difficult, and also the test items with item difficulty > 0.70 cannot be accepted because the test items are too easy.

For instance, in test items number 1, the students who can answer correctly are 47 students from 288 students. Thus, the index of difficulty :
$\mathrm{P}=\frac{N p}{N}$
$\mathrm{P}=\frac{47}{288}=0,16$
From the calculation, the test items difficulty for number 1 is 0,16 . It means that the test item number 1 is very difficult test items where the index of difficulty is $0,16<0,30$. The next results of next items $(2-30)$ use the same formula as shown in the following table below:

### 4.3 The Result of Item Difficulty Level Analysis

| Item <br> Number | $\mathbf{N p}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{P}$ | Interpretation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 47 | 288 | 0,16 | Too difficult |
| 2 | 118 | 288 | 0,41 | Moderate |
| 3 | 190 | 288 | 0,66 | Moderate |
| 4 | 99 | 288 | 0,34 | Moderate |
| 5 | 168 | 288 | 0,58 | Moderate |
| 6 | 166 | 288 | 0,58 | Moderate |
| 7 | 202 | 288 | 0,70 | Moderate |
| 8 | 142 | 288 | 0,49 | Moderate |
| 9 | 266 | 288 | 0,92 | Too easy |
| 10 | 79 | 288 | 0,27 | Too difficult |
| 11 | 248 | 288 | 0,86 | Too easy |
| 12 | 183 | 288 | 0,64 | Moderate |
| 13 | 203 | 288 | 0,71 | Too easy |
| 14 | 77 | 288 | 0,27 | Too difficult |
| 15 | 274 | 288 | 0,95 | Too easy |
| 16 | 256 | 288 | 0,89 | Too easy |
| 17 | 202 | 288 | 0,70 | Moderate |


| 18 | 164 | 288 | 0,57 | Moderate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 139 | 288 | 0,48 | Moderate |
| 20 | 201 | 288 | 0,70 | Moderate |
| 21 | 194 | 288 | 0,67 | Moderate |
| 22 | 221 | 288 | 0,77 | Too easy |
| 23 | 168 | 288 | 0,58 | Moderate |
| 24 | 76 | 288 | 0,26 | Too difficult |
| 25 | 46 | 288 | 0,16 | Too difficult |
| 26 | 157 | 288 | 0,55 | Moderate |
| 27 | 280 | 288 | 0,97 | Too easy |
| 28 | 225 | 288 | 0,78 | Too easy |
| 29 | 159 | 288 | 0,55 | Moderate |
| 30 | 205 | 288 | 0,71 | Too easy |

Based on the data above, the item difficulty level can be described more specific in the table as follow:

Table 4.4 The Percentages of Item Difficulty Analysis

| Criteria <br> of <br> Item Facility | Number <br> of Items | Total | Percen <br> tage | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Too difficult <br> $(<0.30)$ | $1,10,14,24,25$ | 5 | $16,67 \%$ | Not <br> Accepted |
| Moderate <br> $(0.30-0.70)$ | $2,3,4,5,6,7,8,12,18,20,21,23,26,29$ <br> $19,20,21,16,17,22$, | 15 | $50 \%$ | Accepted |
| Too Easy <br> $(>0.70)$ | $9,11,13,15,16$ <br> $27,28,30$ | $33,33 \%$ | Not <br> Accepted |  |

Based on the table above, it showed that 15 items (50\%) of Multiple Choice test belong to moderate items which have good level in difficulty such as item number $2,3,4,5,6,7,8,12,18,19,20,21,23,26$, and 29 . There are 5 items ( $16,67 \%$ ) which belong to difficult items such as item number $1,10,14,24$, and 25 where they have the range level of difficulty less than 0,30 . At last, the items number $9,11,13,15,16,17,22,27,28$,
and 30 , belong to very easy item because it has the level of difficulty more than 0,70 .
4. Item Discrimination

Index discrimination power has a range between -1.00 unti +1.00 . Test items which are accepted have Index of item discrimination more than 0.20. Test items which have discrimination power $0.30-0.40$ are accepted but still need revision, and the test items which have discrimination power 0.20 -0.30 must be revised. As a result, the test items with item difficulty $<0.20$ cannot be accepted because the test items cannot divide ability of highability students and low-ability students (Brown \& Priyanvada, 2010, p.70).

To know the Discrimination Power Index use the Formula, as follow :

$$
\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}
$$

Where $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}=\frac{B a}{J a}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}=\frac{B b}{J b}$
For item number 1, Ba (numbers of the higher group who answer correctly) is 0 and Ja (number of high-ability students) are 78. So, proportion of the higher group $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$ is :

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}=\frac{11}{78}=0,141
$$

Then, number of lower group who can answer correctly ( Bb ) are 3 and numbers of student who follow the test $(\mathrm{Jb})$ are 20 , So, proportion of the lower group $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ is :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}=\frac{10}{78}=0,15 \\
& \text { Then, } \mathrm{D}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}} \\
& \mathrm{D}=0,141-0,128
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{D}=0,013
$$

From the result, it is known that item test number 1 has bad discrimination power index. It is $0,013<0,20$. Next, the results of next items ( $2-30$ ) use the same formula as shown in the following table below :

Table 4.5 The Results of Item Discrimination Power Analysis

| Item <br> Number | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{B}}$ | $\mathbf{B a}$ | $\mathbf{J a}$ | $\mathbf{B b}$ | $\mathbf{J b}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0,141 | 0,128 | 11 | 78 | 10 | 78 | 0,013 | Poor |
| 2 | 0,628 | 0,218 | 49 | 78 | 17 | 78 | 0,410 | Very Good |
| 3 | 0,885 | 0,372 | 69 | 78 | 29 | 78 | 0,513 | Very Good |
| 4 | 0,513 | 0,179 | 40 | 78 | 14 | 78 | 0,333 | Very Good |
| 5 | 0,846 | 0,269 | 66 | 78 | 21 | 78 | 0,577 | Very Good |
| 6 | 0,846 | 0,256 | 66 | 78 | 20 | 78 | 0,590 | Very Good |
| 7 | 0,885 | 0,474 | 69 | 78 | 37 | 78 | 0,410 | Very Good |
| 8 | 0,615 | 0,333 | 48 | 78 | 26 | 78 | 0,282 | Very Good |
| 9 | 0,987 | 0,872 | 77 | 78 | 68 | 78 | 0,115 | Good |
| 10 | 0,513 | 0,128 | 40 | 78 | 10 | 78 | 0,385 | Very Good |
| 11 | 1 | 0,743 | 78 | 78 | 58 | 78 | 0,256 | Good |
| 12 | 0,923 | 0,359 | 72 | 78 | 28 | 78 | 0,564 | Very Good |
| 13 | 0,897 | 0,462 | 70 | 78 | 36 | 78 | 0,436 | Very Good |
| 14 | 0,397 | 0,141 | 31 | 78 | 11 | 78 | 0,256 | Good |
| 15 | 1 | 0,885 | 78 | 78 | 69 | 78 | 0,115 | Enough |
| 16 | 0,962 | 0,859 | 75 | 78 | 67 | 78 | 0,103 | Enough |
| 17 | 0,885 | 0,5 | 69 | 78 | 39 | 78 | 0,385 | Very Good |
| 18 | 0,846 | 0,295 | 66 | 78 | 23 | 78 | 0,551 | Very Good |
| 19 | 0,808 | 0,179 | 63 | 78 | 14 | 78 | 0,628 | Very Good |
| 20 | 0,872 | 0,526 | 68 | 78 | 41 | 78 | 0,346 | Good |
| 21 | 0,936 | 0,346 | 73 | 78 | 27 | 78 | 0,590 | Very Good |
| 22 | 0,923 | 0,577 | 72 | 78 | 45 | 78 | 0,346 | Very Good |
| 23 | 0,885 | 0,295 | 69 | 78 | 23 | 78 | 0,590 | Very Good |
| 24 | 0,372 | 0,154 | 29 | 78 | 12 | 78 | 0,218 | Enough |
| 25 | 0,103 | 0,192 | 8 | 78 | 15 | 78 | $-0,090$ | Poor |
| 26 | 0,885 | 0,231 | 69 | 78 | 18 | 78 | 0,654 | Very Good |
| 27 | 0,987 | 0,987 | 77 | 78 | 77 | 78 | 0 | Poor |
| 28 | 0,961 | 0,5 | 75 | 78 | 39 | 78 | 0,462 | Very Good |
| 29 | 0,705 | 0,410 | 55 | 78 | 32 | 78 | 0,295 | Very Good |
| 30 | 0,987 | 0,308 | 77 | 78 | 24 | 78 | 0,679 | Very Good |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 6, |

The result of the index of discrimination can be seen in the table as
follows:

Table 4.6 The Percentages of Item Discrimination Power Analysis

| Criteria of <br> Discrimination <br> Value | Number of Items | Total | Percent <br> age | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Good <br> $(\mathrm{D}>0.40)$ | $2,3,4,5,6,7,8,10,12$, <br> $13,17,18,19,21,22$, <br> $23,26,28,29,30$ | 20 | $66,67 \%$ | Accepted |
| Good(0.30 < D <br> $\leq 0.40)$ | $9,11,14,20$ | 4 | $13 \%$ | Revise |
| Enough $(0.20<$ <br> $\mathrm{D} \leq 0.30)$ | $15,16,24$ | 3 | $10 \%$ | Revise |
| Poor (D $\leq 0.20)$ | $1,25,27$ | 3 | $10 \%$ | Not <br> Accepted |

From the table above, it showed that most of the items in English final test at Language Development Center IAIN Antasari are good. It showed that 20 items $(66,67 \%)$ of Multiple Choice test have been accepted as very good items such as item number $2,3,4,5,6,7,8,10,12,13,17,18,19,21$, $22,23,26,28,29$, and 30 . There are 4 items which accepted as a good test but it still needs a revision such as $9,11,14$, and 20 . There are 3 items worse than the 4 items above but those still use and should be revised such as number 15,16 , and 24 . At last, there are 3 items which are not accepted such as number 1,25 , and 27 .

## 5. Distractor Efficiency

A distractor is effective if all of distracters are effective, the item will be accepted. If one of distractors in test item are not effective, the item must be revised. And if all distractor are not effective, the item are not accepted.

To know the whether the distracters have good function or not, use the formula below :
$D=\frac{\text { number of students who choose the distractor }}{\text { number of students who follow the test }} \times 100 \%$
Table 4.7 The Results of Distractor Efficiency Analysis

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Item } \\ & \text { Num- } \\ & \text { ber } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Key } \\ \text { Answe } \\ \text { r } \end{gathered}$ | Distractor in \% |  |  |  |  | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | * |  |
| 1 | A | 16,67 | 7,29 | 32,29 | 43,40 | 0,35 | Accepted |
| 2 | D | 25,35 | 13,89 | 19,44 | 40,97 | 0,35 | Accepted |
| 3 | D | 13,19 | 8,68 | 11,46 | 65,97 | 0,69 | Accepted |
| 4 | C | 13,54 | 48,26 | 34,38 | 3,13 | 0,69 | Revised |
| 5 | B | 17,01 | 59,38 | 4,51 | 18,40 | 0,69 | Revised |
| 6 | B | 34,03 | 57,64 | 3,82 | 4,51 | 0 | Revised |
| 7 | B | 21,88 | 70,14 | 3,82 | 3,82 | 0,35 | Revised |
| 8 | C | 4,86 | 10,76 | 48,6 | 35,42 | 0,35 | Revised |
| 9 | B | 0,35 | 93,40 | 4,86 | 1,39 | 0 | Discard |
| 10 | B | 11,46 | 27,08 | 22,92 | 38,19 | 0,35 | Accepted |
| 11 | A | 86,46 | 4,51 | 7,99 | 1,04 | 0 | Revised |
| 12 | C | 35,07 | 0,35 | 63,89 | 0,35 | 0,35 | Revised |
| 13 | C | 27,78 | 0,69 | 70,49 | 1,04 | 0 | Revised |
| 14 | D | 44,10 | 23,96 | 5,21 | 26,39 | 0,35 | Accepted |
| 15 | A | 95,49 | 1,39 | 1,39 | 1,74 | 0 | Discard |
| 16 | C | 7,29 | 3,47 | 88,54 | 0,69 | 0 | Revised |
| 17 | C | 14,93 | 9,38 | 70,49 | 5,21 | 0 | Accepted |
| 18 | C | 11,46 | 11,11 | 57,64 | 19,79 | 0 | Accepted |
| 19 | A | 48,26 | 8,33 | 31,60 | 11,81 | 0 | Accepted |
| 20 | B | 18,06 | 69,79 | 3,82 | 7,99 | 0,35 | Revised |
| 21 | A | 67,36 | 8,68 | 8,68 | 14,93 | 0,35 | Accepted |
| 22 | A | 77,08 | 10,76 | 5,21 | 6,94 | 0 | Accepted |
| 23 | C | 13,54 | 23,96 | 58,33 | 3,13 | 1,04 | Revised |
| 24 | B | 45,83 | 26,39 | 14,24 | 12,50 | 1,04 | Accepted |
| 25 | D | 45,49 | 5,91 | 31,60 | 15,97 | 1,04 | Accepted |
| 26 | A | 54,51 | 0,35 | 10,07 | 34,72 | 0,35 | Revised |
| 27 | B | 1,74 | 96,88 | 0,69 | 0,69 | 0 | Discard |
| 28 | C | 2,78 | 11,46 | 77,78 | 6,25 | 1,74 | Revised |
| 29 | A | 55,21 | 28,82 | 14,93 | 0,69 | 0,35 | Revised |
| 30 | A | 71,88 | 13,54 | 8,68 | 5,56 | 0,35 | Accepted |

Note: the items with bold is percentage of distractor less than 5\%
To determine the efficiency of distractor, the writter consider if the distractor less than $5 \%$, it means that the distractor is bad. There are 3 catagories in classifying the distractor. First, if all distractor are good, it
means that the distractors of the item are accepted. Second, if some distractors are bad, it means that the distractors should be revised. At last, if all distractors are bad, it means that the item test is bad item that should be discarded.

The result of the distractor efficiency can be seen in the table as follows:

Table 4.8 The Percentages of Distractor Efficiency

| Number of Item | Total | Percentage | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1,2,3,10,14,17,18,19,21,22,24$, <br> 25,30 | 13 | $43,33 \%$ | Accepted |
| $4,5,6,7,8,11,12,13,16,20,23$, <br> $26,28,29$ | 14 | $46,67 \%$ | Reviseed |
| $9,15,27$ | 3 | $10 \%$ | Discarded |
| Total | 30 | $100 \%$ |  |

Based on the table, the distractors efficiency of multiple choice test item are $13(43,33 \%)$ items as effective and accepted item, $14(46,67 \%)$ as ineffective and need to be revised item, and 3 (10\%) items need tobe discarded . The effective test items are $1,2,3,10,14,17,18,19,21,22,24$, 25 , and 30 . Furthermore, test items which are not effective $4,5,6,7,8,11$, $12,13,16,20,23,26,28$, and 29 . But, the test item which has all ineffective distractors are 9,15 , and 27 .

## B. Discussion

After all of the findings are described above, the writter will also discuss them. The result of analyzis will determine whether the quality of the test items are good or not.

## 1. Analysis of Validity

Based on the result of validity using biserial point correlation, as shown on the table 4.1 and 4.2 , there are 27 items ( $90 \%$ ) are valid and 3 items (10\%) valid for significant $1 \%$ and $5 \%$. As a result, it can be assumed that the test items in English Final examination at Language Development Center IAIN Antasari Banjarmasin are mostly valid. There are only 3 item need to be revised.

The writter found the data that the reasons why the items are valid because when it is compared with the objectives (see appendix), for entire test items were representative almost all objectives. It means that the test is appropriate with used syllabus in English Course at Language Development Center.
2. Analysis of Reliability

Based on the calculation by using ANATES software. The reliability of test items in English final examination at Language Development Center IAIN Antasari Banjarmasin are 0,743 . In this research, the reliabilty between 0.60 until 0.80 is in high level of reliability. Because of that, from the reliability result, the test items entirely can be accepted as a good test, reliable and has a good consistency.
3. Analysis of Item Difficulty Level

There are some differences level of test items based on index of difficulty, those are very easy item, moderate item, and very difficult item. In this research the index of difficulty the test items are sufficient. It has
more moderate level and some very easy and very difficult test without far space among them. The test is known from the data where totally $50 \%$ of test items are moderate level. Very easy items consist of 10 items, moderate items consist of 15 items, and very difficult items consist of 5 items. Thus, the test items entirely are not too difficult or too easy for the students. But, based on the theory, the very easy and very difficult test should be revised or discarded.
a. Very Easy Items Difficulty

For very easy items, there are some reasons why they cannot be accepted as a good test. As an example the test item number 9 as follow:
9. DON'T FEED THE ANIMAL!

Where do you find this notice?
a. at home
b. at the zoo
c. at the hospital
d. at the market

The percentage based on the answer of 10 of high-ability students and 10 low-ability students:

Table 4.9 The Difficulty Level Analysis for Number 9

| No. | Level of students | A | B | C | D | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9. | High-ability students | - | 10 | - | - | Too easy |
|  | Low-ability student | - | 9 | - | 1 |  |
|  | Total | 0 | 19 | 0 | 1 |  |
|  | Percentage | $0 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $5 \%$ |  |

The key answer is B. Based on the table above, the correct answer test item is chosen by $100 \%$ of 10 of high-ability students and $90 \%$ of low-ability students., totally 20 students from both level students $95 \%$ choose the correct answer. It showed that the test is very easy to do. In addition, the index of difficulty at number 9 is 0,92 . It can be concluded that the test item cannot be accepted because it is too easy. As a result, the test items which included as too easy items should be revised or discarded.
b. Very Difficult Items Difficulty

For the very difficult test items, they also have some reasons why they cannot be accepted as good test items. As an example the test item number 25 as follow:
25. My brother $\qquad$ very hard at the moment, because some of his officemates are off sick.
a. works
b. work
c. is working
d. worked

The percentage base on the answer of 10 of high-ability students and 10 low-ability students:

Table 4.10 The Difficulty Level Analysis for Number 25

| No. | Level of students | A | B | C | D | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 225. | High-ability <br> students | 5 | 1 | 3 | 1 | Too difficult |
|  | Low-ability student | 5 | 1 | 3 | 1 |  |


|  | Total | 10 | 2 | 6 | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | Percentage | $50 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $10 \%$ |  |

The key answer is C. Based on the table above, the test item is chosen only by $30 \%$ of 10 of high-ability students and $30 \%$ of low-ability students, totally 20 students from both level only students $30 \%$ choose the correct answer. It showed that the test is very difficult to do. In addition, the index of difficulty at number 25 is 0,16 . It can be concluded that the test item cannot be accepted because it is too difficult. As a result, the test items which included as too difficult items should be revised or discarded

## c. Moderate Test Item Difficulty

For moderate level test, there are some reasons why the test can be accepted. For example the test item number 2 as follow:
2. Which one is the wrong statement?
a. He is never glad to see me.
b. He never forgets to do his homework.
c. Usually, I drink milk before going to bed.
d. I hardly ever don't sing for public.

The percentage base on the answer of 10 of high-ability students and 10 low-ability students:

Table 4.11 The Difficulty Level Analysis for Number 2

| No. | Level of students | A | B | C | D | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | High-ability students | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | Good item |
|  | Low-ability student | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 |  |
|  | Total | 1 | 3 | 4 | 12 |  |
|  | percentage | $5 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $60 \%$ |  |

The key answer is D. Based on the table above, the test item is chosen only by $100 \%$ of 10 of high-ability students and only $10 \%$ of lowability students, totally 12 students from both level only students $60 \%$ choose the correct answer. It showed that the test is not too easy but also not too difficult to do. In addition, the index of difficulty at number 2 is 0,41 . It can be concluded that the test item is accepted because it is not too difficult and also not too easy. As a result, the test items which included as moderate items can be accepted as a good test.

## 4. Analysis of Discrimination Power

a. Very Good Level of Item Discrimination

The descriptions of test item which has very good level in discrimination for example number 2 as follows:

Table 4.12 The Discrimination Power Analysis for Number 2

| No. | Level of students | A | B | C | D | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | High-ability students | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | Accepted as |
|  | Low-ability student | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 | good item |
|  | Total | 1 | 3 | 4 | 12 |  |
|  | Percentage | $5 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $60 \%$ |  |

Based on the table above, it showed that option A has been chosen by 1 low-ability student (5\%), option B has been chosen by 3 low ability students ( $15 \%$ ), option C has been chosen by 4 low-ability students ( $20 \%$ ), and option D as the correct answer has been chosen by 12 students (60\%) who consist of 10 high ability students (100\%) and 2 student low ability students ( $20 \%$ ). This test item is accepted as very good level of discrimination power because it test item can divided
between high-ability students and low ability students. In addition, if a test item has index value more than 0.40 , it means that the test is very good. This test item's index discrimination for number 2 is 0.410 . So, based on the data, this test has very good quality in index of discrimination.
b. Good Level of Item Discrimination

The descriptions of test item which has good level in discrimination power:

Table 4.13 The Discrimination Power Analysis for Number 17

| No. | Level of students | A | B | C | D | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17. | High-ability <br> students | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 | Good |
|  | Low-ability student | 1 | 1 | 8 | 0 |  |
|  | Total | 3 | 1 | 16 | 0 |  |
|  | Percentage | $15 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |

Based on the table above, it showed that option A has been chosen by 3 students ( $15 \%$ ) who consist of 2 high-ability students ( $20 \%$ ) and 1 low-ability student (10\%), option B has been chosen by 1 low ability students (5\%), option D has been not chosen by any student ( $0 \%$ ), and option C as the correct answer has been chosen by 16 students ( $80 \%$ ) who consist of 8 high ability students ( $80 \%$ ) and 8 student low ability students $(80 \%)$. From the data, it is known that the test item is accepted as good level of discrimination power because it test item can divided between high-ability students and low ability students. But, this test item cannot be accepted as very good level in discrimination because this test
item's index discrimination is 0.385 only where it means that this test item has index score less than 0.40 . Thus, based on the data, this test has good quality in index of discrimination.

## c. Enough Level of Item Discrimination

The descriptions of test item which has moderate level in discrimination power:

Table 4.14 The Discrimination Power Analysis for Number 14

| No. | Level of students | A | B | C | D | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14. | High-ability students | 4 | 0 | 0 | 6 | Enough |
|  | Low-ability student | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 |  |
|  | Total | 7 | 3 | 0 | 10 |  |
|  | Percentage | $35 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |

Based on the table above, it showed that option A has been chosen by 7 students (35\%) who consist of 4 high-ability students(40\%) and 3 low-ability student (30\%), option B has been chosen by 3 low ability students ( $15 \%$ ), option C has been not chosen by any student ( $0 \%$ ), and option D as the correct answer has been chosen by 10 students ( $50 \%$ ) who consist of 6 high ability students ( $60 \%$ ) and 4 student low ability students ( $40 \%$ ). From the data, it is known that the test item is accepted as enough level of discrimination power because it test item can divided between high-ability students and low ability students. But, this test item only has index discrimination for number 14 is 0.256 . If a test has index of discrimination $>0.20-\geq 0.30$, that test item is categorized as enough level in index of discrimination. Thus, based on the data, this test still accepted as good quality test in index of discrimination.

## d. Poor Level of Item Discrimination

The descriptions of test item which has poor level in discrimination power:

Table 4.15 The Discrimination Power Analysis for Number 27

| No. | Level of students | A | B | C | D | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27. | High-ability students | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | Poor |
|  | Low-ability student | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | Total | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | Percentage | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |

Based on the table above, it showed that option A, C, and D have been not chosen by any students ( $0 \%$ ), but option D as the correct answer has been chosen by 20 students ( $100 \%$ ) who consist of 10 high ability students (100\%) and 10 student low ability students (100\%). From the data, this test item cannot accepted as a good test, because this test cannot divided between high-ability students and low ability students. In addition, the index of discrimination power for this test item is 0.00 where if a test has index discrimination less than 0.20 , it is called poor index discrimination power.

Test items in English final test at Language Development Center IAIN Antasari are very good. It is known that 14 items of Multiple Choice test have been accepted as very good items, 6 items which accepted as a good test but it is still need a revision, 4 items that must be revised and only 6 items ( $20 \%$ ) which are not accepted. The total of all items that can be accepted or need revision are 24 items ( $80 \%$ ) from 30 items of Multiple choice test.

From the analysis of item discrimination power above, the test items are very good. It is mean that the test items can divide between high-ability students and low-ability students. If the item discriminatoin power is very good, the group of smart students easily can be known based on the result of test.
5. Analysis of Distractor
a. Accepted Distractor

The descriptions of good distractor are as follow:

## Table 4.16 The Discrimination Power Analysis for Number 2

| No. | Level of students | A | B | C | D | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | High-ability students | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | Accepted as |
|  | Low-ability student | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 | good item |
|  | Total | 1 | 3 | 4 | 12 |  |
|  | Percentage | $5 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $60 \%$ |  |

A distractor called efficience when it has been chosen by minimally $5 \%$ test-taker. In this item, all options have been chosen by minimally $5 \%$ of students. It is means that all distractors have done their function to attract the student to wrong answer.
2. which one is the wrong statement?
a. He is never glad to see me.
b. He never forgets to do his homework
c. Usually, I drink milk before going to bed
d. I hardly ever don't sing for public

The key answer is D. The options have similar length. The options of the test item are homogen where the content use adverbial time
complication to test students' ability in comprehending the correct grammar.
b. Revised Distractor

The descriptions of bad distractors are as follows:
Table 4.17 The Discrimination Power Analysis for Number 14

| No. | Level of students | A | B | C | D | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14. | High-ability students | 4 | 0 | 0 | 6 | Accepted |
|  | Low-ability student | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | as good |
|  | Totem | 7 | 3 | 0 | 10 |  |
|  | Torcentage | $35 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |

A distractor called efficient when it has been chosen by minimally $5 \%$ test-taker. In this item, option C has been not chosen by any student. That is one point which shows that the distractor should be revised. Besides, the option A has attracted 4 high-ability students, it should be revised to make the test to be a good item.
14.

Zaky
: Why $\qquad$ on the floor?

Elma : Because there aren't any chairs to sit on.
a. do you sit
b. are you sit
c. you sit
d. are you sitting

There are some discussion about this test item. The key answer is D. The options have similar length. The options of the test item is not homogen where the option C use statement form while another option in
question form, it makes $0 \%$ of 20 students who consist of 10 high-ability students and 10 low ability students chose the option C.

The indicator of this test is how to ask in simple present continuous tense to test students' ability in comprehending the correct grammar.
c. Discarded Distractor

The descriptions of very bad distractors are as follows:
Table 4.18 The Discrimination Power Analysis for Number 27

| No. | Level of students | A | B | C | D | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27. | High-ability students | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | Poor |
|  | Low-ability student | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | Total | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | Percentage | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |

In this item, option $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{C}$, and D have been not chosen by any student. It means that for high-ability students and low-ability students this question is too easy and it is known all the distractor cannot attract them. Those are the points which shows that the distractor should be discarded.
27. Patrick : Hi, Bob. What $\qquad$ you $\qquad$ ?
a. Is - does
b. are - doing
c. are - do
d. is - doing

There are some discussions about this test item. The key answer is B. The options have similar length. The indicator of this test is how to use in simple present continuous tense and to be correctly, the test is to test students' ability in comprehending the correct grammar. The options of the test item is homogen where all of the options first as to be and second as verb. However, it still makes $100 \%$ of 20 students who consist of 10 high-ability students and 10 low ability students chose the option B. Therefore, the test item has very bad quality of distractor and it should be discarded.

A distractor can be accepted as good distractor if 5\% of test-taker choose it. A distractor which is not choosen by all of test-taker wil be a bad distractor. It also happen if a distractor is choosen by all test-taker. In this research, the total of $43,33 \%$ or almost a half of test items have good distractors. It also showed that $46,67 \%$ or a half of test items have bad distractor. But, there are $10 \%$ of test items which has ineffective distractor. based on the data, the efficiency of distractor are in sufficient level or moderate level where most of the distractors in the test still need revised for make test as a good test.
6. Grouping of test items quality based on Item Difficulty and Item Discrimination

Novitasary (2010, p. 93) mention that, a good test item is based on the item diffficulty and item discrimination. If in a test item the difficulty and the discrimination power are good, it means that the test item is good. But, if one of item difficulty and item discrimination is bad, it means that the test item should be revised. However, if item difficulty and item discrimination are bad, it means the test items is bad and should be discarded. After analysing the quality of test items, the writter will grouping the test items quality based on item difficulty and item discrimination. The description will be explain in the table as follow:

Table 4.18 Group of Test Items After Analyzing Process

| Categorize | Number of item | Total | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Good | $2,3,4,5,6,7,8,12,18$, <br> $19,21,23,26$, and 29 | 14 | $46,67 \%$ |
| Revised | $9,10,11,13,14,15,16$, <br> $17,20,22,24,28$, and 30 | 13 | $43,33 \%$ |
| Discarded | 1,25, and 27 | 3 | $10 \%$ |
| Total |  | 30 | $100 \%$ |

Based on the table above, there are 3 items which is categorized as bad or error item from 30 test items in the multiple-choice test. It means the test items of English final examination at Language Development Center IAIN Antasari Banjarmasin are very good because the test items only have $10 \%$ of bad items or error. It is appropriate with the result of reliability which showed that the test items have good validity and high level of reliability.

There are 14 items as good item such as item number 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, $8,12,18,19,21,23,26$, and 29 . Those can be used in the next test or as a references of good test. Next, there are 13 items that should have revision because there are some problems in item difficulty and item discrimination. They are items number $9,10,11,13,14,15,16,17,20,22,24,28$, and 30. At last, there are totally 3 items as bad items that should be discarded or have review in depth, those are items number 1, 25, and 27.

