

REFERENCES

- Arikunto, S. (2005). *Prosedur Penelitian Suatu Pendekatan Praktek*. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.
- Arnold, N., & Ducate, L. (2015). Contextualized Views of Practices and Competencies in CALL Teacher Education Research. *Language Learning & Technology*, 1-9.
- Ary, D., Lucy, J. C., & Chris, S. (2009). *Introduction to Education in Research*. Belmont: CA: Wadsworth.
- Aurora, Dina, T., & Ciornei, S.-I. (2013). The Advantages and Disadvantages of Computer Assisted Language Learning and Teaching For Foreign Languages. *EDU-WORLD 2012* (hal. 1-5). Romania: Elsevier Ltd.
- Beatty, K. (2011). *Teaching and Researching Computer-Assisted Language Learning, Second Edition*. Edinburg: Pearson Education.
- Chai, C. S., Koh, J. H., & Tsai, C.-C. (2013). A review of Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge. *Educational Technology & Society*, 16(2), 31-51.
- Chapelle, C. (2006). *L2 vocabulary acquisition theory*. Amsterdam: Jhon Benjamins Publishing.
- Dooly, M. (2009). New Competencies in a new era? Examining the Impact of a teacher training project. *ReCALL 21*(3), 1-19.
- Hamid, D. (2011). *Metode Penelitian Pendidikan*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Hampel, R. (2009). Training teachers for multimedia age: developing teacher expertise to enhance online learner intraction and collaboration. *International Journal of Innovation in Language Learning and Teaching 3*(1), 35-50.
- Kessler, G. (2006). Assessing CALL teacher training What are we doing and what could we do better? *Educational Research*, 22-42.
- Kiliçkaya, F. (2007). The Effect of Computer Assisted Language Learning on Turkisk Learners Achievment on TOEFL Exam. *Educational Journal*, 1-25.
- Koehler, M., & Mishra, P. (2009). What is Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge? *Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education*, 9(1), 60-70.
- Lai, C.-C. (2006). The Advantages and Disadvantages of Computer Technology in Second Language Acquisition. *National Journal*, 1-6.

- Lee, K.-w. (2000, December 8). *English Teachers' Barriers to the Use of Computer-Assisted Language Learning*. Diambil kembali dari The Internet TESL Journal: <https://iteslj.org/Articles/Lee-CALLbarriers.html>
- Liu, M., Z., M., L., G., & S., L. (2002). A look at the research on computer-based technology use in second language: a literature review from 1990-2000. *The Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 34(3), 1-54.
- Rahmany, R., Sadeghi, B., & Chegini, A. S. (2014). Normalization of CALL and TPACK: Discovering Teachers' Opportunities and Challenges. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, Vol. 5, No. 4, 891-900.
- Ravichandran, T. (2000). Computer Assisted Language Learning (CALL) in the perspective of Intractive Approach Advantages Apprehensions. *CALL*, 1-15.
- Scott, D., & Beadle, S. (2014). *Improving the effectiveness of language learning. CLIL and computer assisted language learning*. London: ICF International.
- Son, J. B. (2013). *Computer Assited Language Learning: Learners, Teachers, and Tools*. Malaysia: University Malaysia of Sabah.
- Sugiyono. (2008). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif dan Kualitatif dan R&D*. Bandung: ALFABETA.
- Wach, A. (2015). Promoting Pre-service teachers' reflection through a cross-cultural keypal project. *Language Learning & Technology*, 19(1), 34-45.
- Wang, Y., Chen, N.-S., & Levy, M. (2010). Teacher Training in a synchronous cyber face-to-face classroom: characterizing and supporting the online teachers's learning process. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 277-293.