## CHAPTER IV

## FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS

## A. Research Findings

This chapter deals with the finding and discussion of the English reading habit of the sixth semester students of english department and the correlation between students' reading habit and their writing skill in essay.

## 1. Questionnaire Data Analysis

Thus distributing a questionnaire containing 20 statements to 37 respondents, a summary of the results is shown in Table 8.


| 10 | MHLLN | 0 | 3 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 58 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | RZLA | 8 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 78 |
| 12 | RBRMR | 3 | 6 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 71 |
| 13 | ARNN | 2 | 5 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 63 |
| 14 | MHDR | 7 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 75 |
| 15 | NRAZ | 0 | 11 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 65 |
| 16 | NVHM | 2 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 56 |
| 17 | INTEW | 0 | 5 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 58 |
| 18 | MASR | 0 | 3 | 5 | 11 | 1 | 56 |
| 19 | RFHM | 0 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 68 |
| 20 | HNSZ | 6 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 73 |
| 21 | BSZL | 4 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 69 |
| 22 | NFHI | 0 | 11 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 68 |
| 23 | SFLP | 1 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 66 |
| 24 | PPAG | 4 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 0 |  |
| 25 | RMJN | 1 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 79 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 73 55 |
| 26 | AANJ | 3 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 55 |
| 27 | SVAY | 0 | 9 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 62 |
| 28 | NRIH | 3 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 69 |
| 29 | MJLR | 3 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65 |
| 30 | MSYF | 2 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 2 |  |
| 31 | FTNO | 1 | 5 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 56 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 59 |
| 32 | SLYU | 2 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 3 |  |
| 33 | ANPT | 4 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 45 |
|  | ANPT |  |  |  |  |  | 70 |
| 34 | GASH | 0 | 3 | 14 | 3 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60 |


| 35 | SDNM | 2 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 0 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 36 | KHNI | 1 | 3 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 52 |
| 37 | IHBP | 0 | 7 | 5 | 8 | 0 |  |

Based on the data from the questionnaire results shown in the previous table, then two stages of analysis were carried out on the data, namely validity test and reliability test.
a. Validity Test

The validity test was carried out with the help of Excel Windows 2016. Validity test is carried out to find out whether a questionnaire valid or not from each of these variables. Test the validity of this using Pearson Product Moment Correlation, which is a correlation between the questions and the total score. This test uses a significance level $(\propto)=0.05$ which is commonly used and the number of samples $(\mathrm{n})=37$ respondents so that it is stable is 0.325 . Validity results testing is shown in Table 9.

| Table 9 <br> Questionnaire Validity Test Results |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Questionnaire <br> Items | Rcount | Rtable | Conclusion |
| 1 | 0.739 | 0.325 | Valid |
| 2 | 0.753 | 0.325 | Valid |
| 3 | 0.521 | 0.325 | Valid |
| 4 | 0.568 | 0.325 | Valid |
| 5 | 0.608 | 0.325 | Valid |
|  |  |  |  |


| 6 | 0.349 | 0.325 | Valid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 0.709 | 0.325 | Valid |
| 8 | 0.367 | 0.325 | Valid |
| 9 | 0.420 | 0.325 | Valid |
| 10 | 0.241 | 0.325 | Invalid |
| 11 | 0.699 | 0.325 | Valid |
| 12 | 0.783 | 0.325 | Valid |
| 13 | 0.191 | 0.325 | Invalid |
| 14 | 0.805 | 0.325 | Valid |
| 15 | 0.150 | 0.325 | Invalid |
| 16 | 0.658 | 0.325 | Valid |
| 17 | 0.168 | 0.325 | Invalid |
| 18 | 0.558 | 0.325 | Valid |
| 19 | 0.370 | 0.325 | Valid |
| 20 | 0.341 | 0.325 | Valid |

Based on Table 9, it can be seen that there are four questionnaire items that have an in valid status because the $r$-count value has a smaller value than the $r$-table value. Ca be seen in table 10 .

Table 10
The Result Validity of Statements

| Conclusion | Number of Question | Total Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| of Question |  |  |
| Valid | $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,11,12,14,16$, | 16 |
|  | $18,19,20$ |  |


| Invalid | $10,13,15,17$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 20 |  |

Based on the distribution of the table above, the writer found there were 4 items were in valid they were item 10 , item 13, item 15 , and item 17, and there were 16 items were valid. So, the writer used 16 items for the research instrument. b. Reliability Test

Reliability tests were carried out on instruments that previously been declared valid. A variable is said to be valid if answers to questions are always consistent. To measure reliability by using statistical test is Cronbach Alpha ( $\propto$ ). A variable said to be reliable if it has a Cronbach Alpha value of more than 0.6. To test the reliability of the instrument, an analysis was carried out with the help of SPSS version 16. Reliability results testing for the results of the questionnaire can be seen in Table 11:

Table 11. Cronbach Alpha Reliability Test Results

| Cronbach's <br> Alpha | N of <br> Items |
| :---: | ---: |
| .881 | 16 |

Based on the results of the reliability test in Table 4.3, the value of than is 0.881 . The results are declared reliable because $>0.6$. Based on these results, it can concluded that, all statements in the questionnaire was declared reliable.

## c. Reading Habit Questionnaire Tabulation

The following will describe the tabulation results with the frequency of each respondent's answer along with the percentage of each question item from this table 12:

| No | Question | (SA) | (A) | (N) | (D) | (SD) | Interpretation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Students enjoy <br> reading long <br> reading such as <br> novels, magazines, <br> essays, newspapers,  <br> English journals  | 10,81\% | 32,43\% | 45,94\% | 5,40\% | 5,40\% | Students are neutral in enjoying reading long reading such as novels, magazines, newspapers, English journals. |
| 2 | When students are bored, students read long readings speak English <br> as one of the activities that reduce boredom | 8,10\% | 27,02\% | 32,43\% | 27,02\% | 5,40\% | Students are neutral when students are bored, students read long readings speak English as one of the activities that reduce boredom. |
| 3 | Students think that reading long stories in English is more fun than reading short stories in English | 2,70\% | 18,91\% | 40,54\% | 29,72\% | 8,10\% | Students are agree think that reading long stories in English is more fun than reading short stories in English. |
| 4 | Students take time to read reading speak English for (min 3x a week) | 16,21\% | 24,32\% | 27,02\% | 29,72\% | 2,70\% | Students are disagree take time to read reading speak English for (min 3x a week) |
| 5 | Every day students provide time (min 15-30 minutes) to read English literature. | 5,40\% | 13,51\% | 43,24\% | 35,13\% | 2,70\% | Students are neutral Every day provide time (min 15-30 minutes) to read English literature. |
| 6 | Students usually access language reading resources English online | 45,94\% | 51,35\% | 2,70\% | 0\% | 0\% | Students are agree usually access language reading resources English online. |
| 7 | Students like to read other people's essays | 29,72\% | 48,64\% | 16,21\% | 5,40\% | 0\% | Students are agree like to read other people's essays. |
| 8 | Students usually get English readings from borrowing | 2,70\% | 10,81\% | 37,83\% | 37,83\% | 10,81\% | $\begin{array}{\|ccc} \text { Students } & \text { between } \\ \text { neutral } & \text { and } & \text { disagree } \\ \text { usually } & \text { get } & \text { English } \end{array}$ |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | readings from |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | Students like <br> reading language <br> fiction reading <br> English  | 27,02\% | 54,05\% | 10,81\% | 8,10\% | 0\% | Students are agree love reading language fiction reading English. |
| 10 | Students have read at least 3 other people's essays speak English for | 27,02\% | 35,13\% | 21,62\% | 10,81\% | 5,40\% | Students are agree have read at least 3 other people's essays speak English for this. |
| 11 | Students read long texts in English because it is their hobby | 10,81\% | 18,91\% | 32,43\% | 27,02\% | 10,81\% | Students are neutral read long texts in English because it is hobby. |
| 12 | Students reading English for spending free time. | 18,91\% | 18,91\% | 35,13\% | 24,32\% | 2,70\% | Students are neutral reading English for spending free time. |
| 13 | Students usually <br> read English <br> literature at home  | 29,72\% | 43,24\% | 24,32\% | 2,70\% | 0\% | Students are agree usually read English literature at home. |
| 14 | Students usually <br> read English <br> reading at night  | 16,21\% | 35,13\% | 37,83\% | 8,10\% | 2,70\% | Students are neutral usually read English reading at night. |
| 15 | Students feel pressured every time they read English texts | 5,40\% | 18,91\% | 29,72\% | 45,94\% | 0\% | students are disagree feel pressured every time they read English texts. |
| 16 | Students are not motivated to read English text because it is difficult to understand | 5,40\% | 5,40\% | 18,91\% | 64,86\% | 5,40\% | students are disagree motivated to read English text because it is difficult to understand. |

## 2. Document Data Analysis

For this stage, the researcher asked for a list of student scores from the lecturer who taught essay courses in the past semester. In the list of scores there are four parts of the score, namely assignment scores, mid-semester exam scores, final
test scores and student final scores. Students' final grades are obtained from the division between assignment scores, mid-semester test scores and final test scores can be seen on table 13 .

| Table 13 <br> Essay Writing Score |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | Name | Midtest | Assvalue | Ftest | Final Score |
| 1 | NDRP | 78 | 80 | 78 | 78.6 |
| 2 | SDUF | 78 | 82 | 85 | 82 |
| 3 | DNSL | 78 | 82 | 80 | 80 |
| 4 | RHNA | 78 | 82 | 82 | 80.8 |
| 5 | STFM | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 |
| 6 | DNDK | 78 | 80 | 78 | 78.6 |
| 7 | MRAD | 82 | 80 | 80 | 80.6 |
| 8 | ANSLB | 80 | 80 | 82 | 80.8 |
| 9 | MLDHS | 85 | 82 | 78 | 81.3 |
| 10 | MHLLN | 80 | 82 | 80 | 80.6 |
| 11 | RZLA | 76 | 80 | 78 | 78 |
| 12 | RBRMR | 70 | 80 | 72 | 73.8 |
| 13 | ARNN | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| 14 | MHDR | 72 | 80 | 78 | 76.8 |
| 15 | NRAZ | 78 | 80 | 78 | 78.6 |
| 16 | NVHM | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| 17 | INTEW | 76 | 80 | 78 | 78 |
| 18 | MASR | 76 | 80 | 78 | 78 |
| 19 | RFHM | 75 | 80 | 80 | 78.5 |


| 20 | HNSZ | 76 | 82 | 76 | 77.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | BSZL | 78 | 80 | 85 | 81.4 |
| 22 | NFHI | 78 | 85 | 80 | 80.9 |
| 23 | SFLP | 70 | 80 | 72 | 73.8 |
| 24 | PPAG | 80 | 80 | 82 | 80.8 |
| 25 | RMJN | 75 | 82 | 85 | 81.1 |
| 26 | AANJ | 76 | 80 | 80 | 78.8 |
| 27 | SVAY | 85 | 80 | 85 | 83.5 |
| 28 | NRIH | 75 | 80 | 78 | 77.7 |
| 29 | MJLR | 72 | 80 | 78 | 76.8 |
| 30 | MSYF | 76 | 80 | 85 | 80.8 |
| 31 | FTNO | 80 | 80 | 85 | 82 |
| 32 | SLYU | 78 | 82 | 75 | 78.2 |
| 33 | ANPT | 77 | 80 | 78 | 78.3 |
| 34 | GASH | 80 | 82 | 82 | 81.4 |
| 35 | SDNM | 72 | 80 | 75 | 75.6 |
| 36 | KHNI | 72 | 80 | 72 | 74.4 |
| 37 | IHBP | 80 | 82 | 82 | 81.4 |

3. Correlation Analysis

Before conducting a correlation analysis, a correlation prerequisite test is carried out first carried out, namely the normality test.
a. Normality Test

The normality test in this study used the one sample KolmogorovSmirnov test for normality. A data distribution said to be normally distributed if
it has a significance value of $>0.05$ and he regression equation is said to be good if it has 50 independent variable data and the dependent variable data has a normal distribution or not for normality, the analysis was carried out with the help of SPSS version 16. Data normality test results the distribution can be seen in the table 14.

One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

|  |  | Unstandardiz <br> ed Residual |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| N |  | 37 |
| Normal Parameters ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Mean | .0000000 |
|  | Std. Deviation | 2.71970845 |
| Most Extreme | Absolute | .140 |
| Differences | Positive | .090 |
|  | Negative | -.140 |
| Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z | .853 |  |
| Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) |  | .461 |

Based on table 29, the significance level for the reading variable is 0.461 or greater than 0.05 . So that the distribution of data on the reading habit variable is declared to be normally distributed.
b. Linearity

Linearity test is used to determine the linearity of the data, namely whether two or more variables tested has a linear relationship or not. Test this usually used as a prerequisite in correlation or linear analysis regression. The basis for decision making in the linearity test is if two variables have a linear relationship if the deviation for linearity is $>0.05$ and if it is $<0.05$ then the two variables are not linear. This linearity test was carried out using SPSS version 16. The results of the linearity of the data are shown in Table 15.

|  |  | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Essay Writing * <br> Reading Habit Between (Combine <br> Groups d) <br>   Linearity <br>   Deviation <br> from <br> Linearity <br>  Within Groups  <br>  Total  |  | 144.512 | 18 | 8.028 | 1.186 | . 361 |
|  |  | . 128 | 1 | . 128 | . 019 | . 892 |
|  |  | 144.384 | 17 | 8.493 | 1.254 | . 318 |
|  |  | 121.863 | 18 | 6.770 |  |  |
|  |  | 266.376 | 36 |  |  |  |

Based on table 15, the significance level of deviation from linearity is 0.318 or $>0.05$. So it can be concluded that there is a significant linear relationship between the variables of reading habits and essay writing skills. The basis for decision making in the linearity test is if probability value $>0.05$ then the relationship between the two variables is linear.
c. Variable Analysis

1) Reading Habit

To analyze data from reading habits, descriptive statistics were carried out, which was carried out by the process of making a frequency distribution table as follows:

| Table 16 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading Habit Frequency |  | | No | Name |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| 1 | NDRP |


| 2 | SDUF | 75 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | DNSL | 60 |
| 4 | RHNA | 68 |
| 5 | STFM | 54 |
| 6 | DNDK | 35 |
| 7 | MRAD | 54 |
| 8 | ANSLB | 53 |
| 9 | MLDHS | 72 |
| 10 | MHLLN | 45 |
| 11 | RZLA | 66 |
| 12 | RBRMR | 57 |
| 13 | ARNN | 48 |
| 14 | MHDR | 67 |
| 15 | NRAZ | 55 |
| 16 | NVHM | 44 |
| 17 | INTEW | 45 |
| 18 | MASR | 44 |
| 19 | RFHM | 53 |
| 20 | HNSZ | 61 |
| 21 | BSZL | 53 |
| 22 | NFHI | 54 |
| 23 | SFLP | 57 |
| 24 | PPAG | 66 |
| 25 | RMJN | 61 |
| 26 | AANJ | 50 |


| 27 | SVAY | 48 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 28 | NRIH | 57 |
| 29 | MJLR | 53 |
| 30 | MSYF | 47 |
| 31 | FTNO | 53 |
| 32 | SLYU | 36 |
| 33 | ANPT | 60 |
| 34 | GASH | 51 |
| 35 | SDNM | 53 |
| 36 | KHNI | 44 |
| 37 | IHBP | 47 |

From the table above, the writer will look for the category of interval class, in the following way:
$i=R / K$
To find the class length (K), in the following way:
$K=1+3,3 \log N$
$K=1+3.3 \log 37$
$\mathrm{K}=1+3.3 \times 1.57$
$K=1+5.181$
$K=6.181$ Rounded to 6
To find the Class Range (R), in the following way:
R $=$ Largest Data - Smallest Data
$\mathrm{R}=75-35$
$R=40$

Then we get the value of interval
$i=R / K$
$i=40 / 6$
$\mathrm{i}=$ 6.6 Rounded to 7

Based on the results above, the interval value is 7 , so the writer can create a data table for reading habit variables.

| Table 17 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data Variabel Reading |  |  |  |  |
| Score | Frequency <br> (N) | Class Limit | The <br> Midpoint <br> (X) | NX |
| $35-41$ | 2 | $34,5-41,5$ | 38 | 76 |
| $42-48$ | 9 | $41,5-48.5$ | 45 | 405 |
| $49-55$ | 12 | $48,5-55,5$ | 52 | 624 |
| $56-62$ | 7 | $55,5-62,5$ | 59 | 413 |
| $63-69$ | 5 | $62,5-69,5$ | 66 | 330 |
| $70-76$ | 2 | $69,5-75,5$ | 73 | 146 |
| $\sum$ | 37 |  |  | 1.994 |

From the table above, we can find the average value (mean) for reading habit in the following way:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Mean }=\sum \mathrm{NX} / \sum \mathrm{X} \\
& \text { Mean }=1.994 / 37 \\
& \text { Mean }=53.8918
\end{aligned}
$$

The average value of the reading habit variable is 54 , meaning that the level of reading habit is in the fair category.
$\left.\begin{array}{|cc|}\hline \text { Table } 18 & \\ \text { The Score Range of Criteria }\end{array}\right]$

38-59

16-37
Fair
Low
2) Essay Writing

To analyze the data from the essay writing, descriptive statistics were carried out, which was carried out by the process of making a frequency distribution table as follows:

| Table 19 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading Habit Frequency |  |  |
| No | Name | Score |
|  |  | (X) |
| 1 | NDRP | 78,6 |
| 2 | SDUF | 82 |
| 3 | DNSL | 80 |
| 4 | RHNA | 80,8 |
| 5 | STFM | 82 |
| 6 | DNDK | 78,6 |
| 7 | MRAD | 80,6 |
| 8 | ANSLB | 80,8 |
| 9 | MLDHS | 81,3 |
| 10 | MHLLN | 80,6 |
| 11 | RZLA | 78 |
| 12 | RBRMR | 73,8 |
| 13 | ARNN | 85 |
| 14 | MHDR | 76,8 |


| 15 | NRAZ | 78,6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | NVHM | 85 |
| 17 | INTEW | 78 |
| 18 | MASR | 78 |
| 19 | RFHM | 78,5 |
| 20 | HNSZ | 77,2 |
| 21 | BSZL | 81,4 |
| 22 | NFHI | 80,9 |
| 23 | SFLP | 73,8 |
| 24 | PPAG | 80,8 |
| 25 | RMJN | 81,1 |
| 26 | AANJ | 78,8 |
| 27 | SVAY | 83,5 |
| 28 | NRIH | 77,7 |
| 29 | MJLR | 76,8 |
| 30 | MSYF | 80,8 |
| 31 | FTNO | 82 |
| 32 | SLYU | 78,2 |
| 33 | ANPT | 78,3 |
| 34 | GASH | 81,4 |
| 35 | SDNM | 75,6 |
| 36 | KHNI | 74,4 |
| 37 | IHBP | 81,4 |

From the table above, the writer will look for the category of interval class, in the following way:
$i=R / K$

To find the class length (K), in the following way:
$\mathrm{K}=1+3,3 \log \mathrm{~N}$
$K=1+3.3 \log 37$
$K=1+3.3 \times 1.57$
$K=1+5.181$
$K=6.181$ Rounded to 6
To find the Class Range (R), in the following way:
$R=$ Largest Data - Smallest Data
$R=85-74$
$\mathrm{R}=11$

Then we get the value of interval
$\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{K}$
$\mathrm{i}=11 / 6$
$\mathrm{i}=1.8$ Rounded 2
Based on the results above, the interval value is 2 , so the writer can create a data table for essay writing variables.

Table 20
Data Variabel Essay Writing

| Score | Frequency <br> (N) | Class Limit | The <br> Midpoint <br> $(\mathbf{X})$ | NX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $74-75$ | 3 | $73,5-75,5$ | 74,5 | 223,5 |
| $76-77$ | 4 | $75,5-77,5$ | 76,5 | 306 |
| $78-79$ | 11 | $77,5-79,5$ | 78,5 | 863,5 |
| $80-81$ | 13 | $79,5-81,5$ | 80,5 | $1.046,5$ |


| $82-83$ | 3 | $81,5-83,5$ | 82,5 | 247,5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $84-85$ | 3 | $83,5-85,5$ | 84,5 | 253,5 |
| $\sum$ | 37 |  |  | $2.940,5$ |

From the table above we can find the average value (mean) for the essay writing variable in the following way:

Mean $=\sum \mathrm{NX} / \sum \mathrm{X}$
Mean $=2.940,5 / 37$
Mean $=79.47$
The average value of the essay writing variable is 79 , meaning that the essay writing level is in the good category.

| Table 21 <br> The Score Range of Criteria |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Score | Range Criteria |
| $86-100$ | Very Good |
| $76-85$ | Good |
| $56-65$ | Fair |
| $10-55$ | Poor |

Source: Nurgiyantoro (2005, p. 253)
d. Correlation Test Analysis

The correlation test analysis method used in this analysis is the Pearson correlation test. This analysis is included in the non-parametric analysis. Pearson's non-parametric analysis was chosen because the data were normally distributed according to the results of the test table above using the KolmogorovSmirnov one sample test. The analysis was carried out with the help of SPSS
version 16. The results were then compared with the interval table shown in
Table 22.

| Table 22 <br> Correlation Coefficient Table |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Correlation coefficient (r) | Interpretation |
| $0.00-0.20$ | Very low correlation |
| $0.21-0.40$ | Low correlation |
| $0.41-0.70$ | Moderate correlation |
| $0.71-0.90$ | High correlation |
| $0.91-1.00$ | Very high correlation |

The results of the correlation coefficient of Pearson the correlation test will be compared with table 37 to find out how much relationship criteria. The correlation range in this formula is 1.00 to 0.00 , where 1.00 represents a strong correlation between the two variable and 0.00 indicates no correlation at all. Spearman correlation test is shown in Table 23.

|  | Reading <br> Habit | Essay Writing |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Reading Habit Pearson Correlation | 1 | -.018 |
| Sig. (2-tailed) |  | .914 |
| N | 37 | 37 |
| Essay Writing Pearson Correlation | -.018 | 1 |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | .914 |  |
| N | 37 | 37 |

Based on the table the resulting correlation coefficient is -0.018 , this result indicates that the correlation coefficient falls into the very low correlation
interpretation with intervals $0.00-0.20$. In other words, the correlation between reading habits and writing skill in essay for students of the sixth semester English study program has a very low correlation. Very low correlation means students' reading habits and essay writing skills are between very low correlations so that the effect is not too strong and can be said to be still below average. the data above showed that the null hypothesis $(H o)$ was accepted and research hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{l}}\right)$ rejected.

## B. Discussion

Before discussing the results of this study, the researcher will first explain focus of his research. This research was conducted at Antasari State Islamic University Banjarmasin. The sample from this study was the sixth semester student of English education major in the 2019/2020 academic year which consisted of four classes with a total population of 103 students. Then the researcher selected participants by random sampling and obtained 37 students from the four classes. The data collection instrument used in this study was a questionnaire and documentation. Based on the formulation of the problem contained in the first chapter, how are English reading habits and whether there is a relationship between students' reading habits and their writing skills in essays for sixth semester students majoring in English education at UIN Antasari Banjarmasin for the 2019/2020 academic year. After the researcher collected data analysis, the researcher then described some of the results that have been carried out by researcher are as follows:

## 1. Reading Habit

Reading habits refer to an automatic process when the reader reads textual unconsciously derived material and meaning. Zwiers also provides additional the habit of reading is an unconscious mind that appears constantly to make proficient
readers actively develop the meaning of reading texts (2004, p. 3). From the results of the data in the study above, the findings of the reading habits of the sixth semester students majoring in TBI at UIN Antasari are included in the fair category with a score of 54. In addition, the researcher analyzed data on the reading habits of the selected students using questions in the form of a questionnaire. In which the researcher has broken down and adjusted the questions based on the indicators of the students' reading habits described by Gaona \& Gonzalez (2011) in chapter two which explains that the indicators are reading habits.

The first indicator is attitude toward, there are about $40 \%$ of students who choose neutral on the question whether they enjoy long English reading and use their free time to read, besides that, there are about $50 \%$ of students who answered disagree when asked whether they felt pressured and unmotivated when reading long English texts. Based on the analysis of reading frequency indicators, it was concluded that as many as $29 \%$ of participants said that they did not agree to read English readings three times a week. This means that their reading frequency in a week is still lacking and there are around $40 \%$ of students who answered neutrally by taking their time to read every day. Then based on the analysis of books read, there are as many as $51 \%$ of students accessing English reading via online this means that students use technology in their reading, and as many as $37 \%$ of students answered between agree and neutral that they read English readings from borrowing then as many as $54 \%$ of students said that they have read at least 3 other people's essays, this means that they have experience in essay writing. Then the last one on the motivation indicator, there were about $30 \%$ of students answered neutrally that they read English reading as a hobby and filled their free time at
home. This means that there are students in the moderate category when reading at home and on campus.

The result of study is relevant to Hairina, Imadduddin, \& Komalasari, (2021) who conducted research at UIN Antasari they found that interest in reading UIN Antasari students was in the low category, namely $78.69 \%$ of participants had low reading habit and $21,31 \%$ of participants had good category reading habit. According to them, students' lack of interest in reading in general is caused by two factors, namely internal and external, internal factors in the form of interest, reading habits, awareness and motivation problems besides external factors also in the form of environment, technology and social media which are increasingly attractive, which may cause them to lack interest. in reading. In addition, the results of this study are different from the research of Khalisa (2018) which also investigated the English reading habit of students in SMAN 4 Banda Aceh city. The study showed that a good reading habit with the results of the reading habit score of 69 and is in the good category supported by their motivation in reading English and their eagerness to spend time in reading various types of English text regularly.

## 2. Correlation between Students' Reading Habit and Writing Skill in Essay

Reading is a skill that is interconnected with writing skills as a productive activity because they have the same essential purpose Billen (2014). From reading a book readers increase their knowledge and develop their critical thinking. It affects the way they organize ideas that help students improve their writing skills. This is in line with Harl (2013) he asserts that reading is obtaining meaning from a text while writing is a process when meaning is produced.

In this study, the measurement of the Correlation Coefficient Formula by Pearson showed that students' reading habits had a very low correlation with
students' writing abilities. It was concluded from the calculation results of the Correlation Coefficient Formula. The result is -0.018 , these results indicate that the correlation coefficient falls into the interval $0.00-0.20$ with a very low correlation interpretation. In other words, it can be concluded that there is a very low correlation between students' reading habits and their writing skill in essay. This result can be strengthened by the results of the average reading habit value of students, some of them have low reading habit values but their high essay writing scores can be one of the factors why the correlation value between the two variables is very low. In another reason from Perdana (2013) said that there are several factors that affect a person's writing skills, namely: facilities and tools available, the writer's social environtment, interest, motivation, and intelegence.

The results of this study are also relevant to the findings of Aprilia (2021) who examined the correlation between students' reading habits and their abilities of writing narrative texts in the second semester of IAIN Bengkulu with the result that there was a very low relationship between students' reading habits and their ability to write narrative texts. Write narrative text. It is proven by the calculation results of Spearman's Non-Parametric Correlation Test Results which show 0.136. These results indicate that the correlation coefficient falls into the interval 0.00 0.20 with a very low correlation interpretation, but the scores for the reading habit and writing test narratives show quite good results. Therefore, it can be concluded that the relationship between reading and writing narrative skills, the test has a very low relationship, it could be another factor affecting the research variable.

However, the findings of Safitri (2018) who conducted the study about reading habits and its effect on academic writing skills a study of master degree at the Faculty of Teachers Training and Education at Sebelas Maret University
contradicted the results of this study with $60 \%$ participants thought that reading habit provided a great effect in their academic writing skill and $20 \%$ participant thought that reading gave big effect and the rest $20 \%$ participant thought that reading had sufficient effect in their academic writing skill. In addition, they also say that reading is very important because it can build inspiration/ideas, make critical analysis, increase knowledge, enrich diction, collect detailed information, strengthen theory, improve writing quality, etc.

