

CHAPTER V

CLOSURE

After a sequence of CDA processes on Donald Trump's speech, finally, the obtained findings direct us to points of closure. The first conclusions are divided into two categories built upon the previously mentioned research questions of what power and ideology Donald Trump uses in his speech. The second part was suggestions for further research related to the faculty of the English education department, the other researcher, and the students.

A. Conclusion

Firstly, based on Normain Fairclough's three dimensions of CDA, it can be conclude that Donald Trump uses dominant power in his speech, in the textual analysis the researcher found Donald Trump's power. He utilized "**dominant**" Trump's speech effectively because he used words and phrases to endear himself to the audience. He based his tale on nasty and disrespectful remarks. For instance, the word "**tremendous**" stands for the immigrants who came to the United States of America. In this case, Trump tried to say that there were bad rules about immigration in the U.S. that the president could not fix at the time. He said it led to many immigrants coming to the U.S. and posed a high risk to American safety.

Trump not only employed a large number of lexical units with frequently hostile pragmatics, but he also frequently repeated himself to make his views and statements more transparent and believable. The use of assumptions throughout his speech indicated that he attempted to convey his point to the audience on several occasions indirectly. Thus, the examination of textual analysis leads to the usage of language with such power. On the other side, the speaker's character can also indicate power. It indicates how the social rank of the speaker is seen to influence his words. It is visible in the initial analysis of discursive practice, particularly in the discourse creation that examines the connection between the speaker and the listener, his status, and social distance.

Secondly, the ideologies the speaker practiced in the discourse are fascism and hegemony. The ideology of fascism is not only majorly marked by the quality of nationalism and socialism but also supported by some other findings, namely discursive practice and social practice. Conversely, the ideology of hegemony is also found on Donald Trump because he minimally struggles through a classification scheme. The superiority sense is shown in the classification through the optimism of America being the country that will resolve the

persistent problem of extremism or terrorism, immigrants, and violence.

B. Suggestions

Based on the results achieved in this research, the researcher should give suggestions for material consideration. Those things include:

1. It is worth us to pay more attention, and it is needed to know because CDA analysis is closely related to the environmental problems around us.
2. As it is known that this thesis talks about the criticism. However, it is not the only criticism. It is also discussed how it should be better. So the reader of this thesis is suggested to be a good reader, in this case, is becoming neutral because the researcher does not have a purpose of criticizing or satirizing certain parties.
3. The other subsequent researchers are also interested in critical discourse analysis (CDA). Exploring the capabilities and creativity to conduct CDA in the future is suggested. For example, is the data, the following researchers are expected to provide data as attractive as possible and different from the previous data. Furthermore, since the CDA is commonly used for research, it is also expected that the following researchers give the 'new thing' on future CDA research.

4. To the Faculty of Tarbiyah, Antasari State Islamic University, it is expected to complete all the references related to this analysis considering the difficulties experienced by the researcher to conduct this work.