

APPENDICES

Appendix 1. Translation of Al-Qur'an and Hadith

Name of Surah/Hadith	Indonesia	English
Al-Mujadila [58:11]: يَا أَيُّهَا الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا إِذَا قِيلَ لَكُمْ تَفَسَّحُوا فِي الْمَجَالِسِ فَافْسَحُوا يَفْسَحِ اللَّهُ لَكُمْ وَإِذَا قِيلَ انشُزُوا فَانْشُزُوا يَرْفَعِ اللَّهُ الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا مِنْكُمْ وَالَّذِينَ أُوتُوا الْعِلْمَ دَرَجَاتٍ وَاللَّهُ بِمَا تَعْمَلُونَ خَبِيرٌ ﴿١١﴾	Wahai orang-orang yang beriman, apabila dikatakan kepadamu “Berilah kelapangan di dalam majelis-majelis,” lapangkanlah, niscaya Allah akan memberi kelapangan untukmu. Apabila dikatakan, “Berdirilah,” (kamu) berdirilah. Allah niscaya akan mengangkat orang-orang yang beriman di antaramu dan orang-orang yang diberi ilmu beberapa derajat. Allah Mahateliti terhadap apa yang kamu kerjakan.	O you who have believed, when you are told, "Space yourselves" in assemblies, then make space; Allah will make space for you. And when you are told, "Arise," then arise; Allah will raise those who have believed among you and those who were given knowledge, by degrees. And Allah is Acquainted with what you do.
Sunan Ibn Mājah (224): طَلَبُ الْعِلْمِ فَرِيضَةٌ عَلَى كُلِّ مُسْلِمٍ	Mencari ilmu adalah kewajiban bagi setiap Muslim	Seeking knowledge is a duty upon every Muslim

Appendix 2. Observation Guideline

Objective:

To examine how EFL students use Mapify to support journal article summarizing based on the stages of the summary writing process proposed by (Oshima & Hogue, 2006).

Reading and Understanding the Source Text	Yes /No	Notes
1. The participant reads the journal article before using Mapify		
2. The participant shows understanding of the general content		
3. The participant refers back to the original text while using the mind map		
Interpreting AI-Generated Mind Map		
1. The participant reads and interprets the generated mind map		
2. The participant navigates different parts/features of the generated mind map		
3. The participant compares the mind map with the original text		
Identifying Main Ideas and Important Information		
1. The participant identifies key ideas from the mind map		
2. The participant distinguishes main ideas from supporting details		
3. The participant selects relevant information for summarizing		
Eliminating Unnecessary Details		
1. The participant ignores irrelevant or minor details		
2. The participant focuses only on essential information		

Paraphrasing and Reorganizing Ideas		
1. The participant rewrites ideas using their own words		
2. The participant reorganizes information from the mind map		
3. The participant does not copy directly from the mind map		
Writing the Summary Coherently		
1. The participant writes a summary based on selected ideas		
2. The summary shows logical organization and coherence		
3. The participant uses the mind map as a reference while writing		

Appendix 3. Interview Questions Guidelines

Objective:

To explore EFL students' experiences and perspectives regarding the weaknesses of using Mapify for journal article summarizing based on the theoretical roles of mind mapping in summary writing proposed by (Buzan, 2024; Fu et al., 2024; Sari et al., 2021; Tarin & Yawiloeng, 2022; Wahid & Sudirman, 2023).

General Experience
1. How was your experience using Mapify when summarizing journal articles? Did you feel it help you or make the process more difficult? Why?
Identifying Main Ideas
2. In what ways did Mapify help you summarize journal article? Did it help you identify the main ideas and supporting details of a journal article? If not, what difficulties or weaknesses did you experience (e.g., inaccurate or irrelevant information, misleading output, or confusing mind maps)?
Organizing Information & Relationships
3. Did using Mapify make it easier for you to organize information coherently and help you understand the relationships between ideas? Or are there still difficulties and weaknesses with Mapify in that regard (e.g., overly complex structure, confusing mind maps, or misleading organization)?
Reducing Cognitive Load / Understanding Complex Texts
4. Did Mapify help you understand complex journal articles more easily, or did you still feel confused? What weaknesses do you think cause this (e.g., overly complex maps, lack of clarity, or difficulty in simplifying information)?
Critical Thinking & Comprehension

5. Do you think using Mapify improved your understanding or critical thinking when summarizing? Why or why not? What weaknesses did you notice in this aspect (e.g., over-reliance on AI, misleading information)?
Writing the Summary
6. How did you use Mapify when writing your summary? Did you face any difficulties or weaknesses when writing it using Mapify (e.g., difficulty transforming mind maps into paragraphs, over-reliance, or irrelevant information)?
Motivation & Engagement
7. How did you feel when using Mapify (e.g., more motivated, confident, or engaged)? What feelings did you often experience? Were there any negative feelings related to its weaknesses (e.g., discomfort using mind maps, frustration due to confusion, or reduced effort)?
Technical & Overall Evaluation
8. Did you experience any technical or operational problems when using Mapify (e.g., slow performance, errors, or limited features)? What do you think are the main weaknesses of Mapify in summarizing journal articles, and in which part of the summarization process was it least helpful?

Appendix 4. Observation Result

Reading and Understanding the Source Text	Yes /No	Notes
1. The participant reads the journal article before using Mapify	P1: Yes P2: Yes P3: Yes P4: Yes P5: Yes P6: Yes	P3: Screening the article
2. The participant shows understanding of the general content	P1: Yes P2: Yes P3: Yes P4: Yes P5: Yes P6: Yes	
3. The participant refers back to the original text while using the mind map	P1: Yes P2: Yes P3: Yes P4: Yes P5: Yes P6: Yes	P3: Checking accordance of the map results with the article
Interpreting AI-Generated Mind Map		
1. The participant reads and interprets the generated mind map	P1: Yes P2: Yes P3: Yes P4: Yes P5: Yes P6: Yes	
2. The participant navigates different parts/features of the generated mind map	P1: Yes P2: Yes P3: Yes P4: Yes P5: No P6: Yes	P1: Change format (map model and background) and more concise but cancelled because it's too concise

		P2: Ask for more conciseness P3: More concise and change map model but it didn't work (need premium acc) P4: Ask to explained again, asking about the information, and change format P6: Ask for more conciseness and change format
3. The participant compares the mind map with the original text	P1: Yes P2: Yes P3: Yes P4: Yes P5: No P6: Yes	
Identifying Main Ideas and Important Information		
1. The participant identifies key ideas from the mind map	P1: Yes P2: Yes P3: Yes P4: Yes P5: Yes P6: Yes	
2. The participant distinguishes main ideas from supporting details	P1: Yes P2: Yes P3: Yes P4: Yes P5: Yes P6: Yes	
3. The participant selects relevant information for summarizing	P1: Yes P2: Yes P3: Yes P4: Yes	P3: Got ideas that she didn't find when screening the article

	P5: Yes P6: Yes	P4: Need to compare with the original article
Eliminating Unnecessary Details		
1. The participant ignores irrelevant or minor details	P1: Yes P2: Yes P3: Yes P4: No P5: Yes P6: Yes	P3: Avoid P4: Even its repetitive, some unnecessary information is still useful as supporting ideas
2. The participant focuses only on essential information	P1: Yes P2: Yes P3: Yes P4: Yes P5: Yes P6: Yes	
Paraphrasing and Reorganizing Ideas		
1. The participant rewrites ideas using their own words	P1: Yes P2: Yes P3: No P4: Yes P5: Yes P6: No	P3: Reorganize to fit what needed but didn't change the sentence because she think it was already in line with the article
2. The participant reorganizes information from the mind map	P1: Yes P2: No P3: Yes P4: Yes P5: Yes P6: No	P4: Detail but still rigid for paragraph, information still separated and need to be connected to each other
3. The participant does not copy directly from the mind map	P1: Yes P2: Yes P3: Yes P4: Yes P5: Yes P6: Yes	

Writing the Summary Coherently		
1. The participant writes a summary based on selected ideas	P1: Yes P2: Yes P3: Yes P4: Yes P5: Yes P6: Yes	
2. The summary shows logical organization and coherence	P1: Yes P2: Yes P3: Yes P4: Yes P5: Yes P6: Yes	P3: Write summary with more focus when using Mapify
3. The participant uses the mind map as a reference while writing	P1: Yes P2: Yes P3: Yes P4: Yes P5: Yes P6: Yes	

Appendix 5. Interview Result

General Experience
1. How was your experience using Mapify when summarizing journal articles? Did you feel it help you or make the process more difficult? Why? Participant's Feedback: P1: "Using Mapify was very helpful in the process of summarizing journal articles. It made it easier to identify the important points in the article without having to repeatedly read the entire journal. Without Mapify, sometimes we need to read the article several times before we can understand and find the main points. Mapify made the summarizing process easier and more efficient. Moreover, even people who have not read the original article can still understand the general overview and main ideas through the summary or mind map generated by Mapify." P2: "Based on my personal experience, I do not use Mapify very often, but I think it is quite helpful when I need to create a summary quickly. It makes the summarizing process faster, especially when I need an instant overview of an article." P3: "My experience using Mapify to summarize journal articles was very helpful because it made the process easier. However, there were still some points that needed to be cross-checked between the original article and the results generated by Mapify. Overall, Mapify helped simplify the summarizing process." P4: "Mapify actually helped me a lot because the information from the journal article was directly broken down into important points. However, for a more detailed explanation, I still needed to analyze it further using other features or create supporting sentences by myself. Overall, Mapify made the summarizing process easier, but further understanding was still needed." P5: "My experience using Mapify for summarizing journal articles was quite good. At first, I was slightly confused with some of the features, but after using it several

times, I understood how it worked. The summarizing process was quite convenient because Mapify automatically organized the information into a mind map structure. When I looked at the generated map, it was easier to see the main points compared to manually reading the journal repeatedly and scanning through the whole article. I think using Mapify made the summarizing process more efficient."

P6: "It made the process much easier, actually. Mapify was very helpful, especially when dealing with long articles or videos. For example, when watching a long YouTube video, sometimes we feel less motivated to listen to the entire content. With Mapify, we can get a more concise version of the information, so it becomes easier to understand the main points quickly."

Identifying Main Ideas

2. In what ways did Mapify help you summarize journal article? Did it help you identify the main ideas and supporting details of a journal article? If not, what difficulties or weaknesses did you experience (e.g., inaccurate or irrelevant information, misleading output, or confusing mind maps)?

Participant's Feedback:

P1: "Mapify was quite helpful in identifying the main ideas and important details from a journal article. The mind map format made it easier for me to understand the content and see the important points from the article. However, I also experienced a minor weakness. When the mind map was generated, I was a little confused about finding the conclusion section. Usually, the conclusion is located at the end or at the bottom of the summary, but in this case, it was placed at the top. Therefore, I felt that the arrangement was slightly less organized. Overall, Mapify was still helpful in allowing me to understand the content of the journal more quickly."

P2: "Mapify helped me summarize journal articles because the main ideas and important information became clearer through the mind map format. It made it easier for me to understand the content of the journal and identify the supporting details."

However, I still needed to check the original article to make sure that no important information was missed."

P3: "Yes, Mapify helped me identify the main ideas and supporting details of the journal article. It made the summarizing process more structured and helped me organize the information better, so I didn't feel confused when developing the sentences for the article summary."

P4: "Since Mapify provides several options for the type of summary that users want, I think the summary can be adjusted based on each user's needs. Users can choose whether they want a longer or shorter version, which is very helpful. However, if we need a more detailed explanation, we still need to put more effort into using other AI features in Mapify, other AI tools, or even constructing the sentences independently."

P5: "Yes, Mapify helped me identify the main ideas and supporting details from the article. After uploading the article, Mapify immediately provided different sections such as the strengths, weaknesses, and other important information. I could read the generated points and then summarize them again in my notes based on what I needed. In terms of accuracy and relevance, I think the information provided was quite suitable, and I did not find any major problems or irrelevant information."

P6: "Yes, Mapify really helped me identify the main ideas and supporting details. When using Mapify, the information is directly presented with the main ideas and their supporting details. If the result feels too long or contains too much information, we can ask Mapify to summarize it again. That is one of the advantages of using Mapify because it allows us to get a more concise summary. We can also use it to identify the main ideas first, so it is very helpful when summarizing journal articles, especially when we find it difficult to read the entire article."

Organizing Information & Relationships

3. Did using Mapify make it easier for you to organize information coherently and help you understand the relationships between ideas? Or are there still difficulties

and weaknesses with Mapify in that regard (e.g., overly complex structure, confusing mind maps, or misleading organization)?

Participant's Feedback:

P1: "In my opinion, Mapify was quite good at organizing information. Since it uses a mind map format, I found it easier to see the relationship between the main ideas and the supporting points. The information was presented in a more organized way and became easier to understand. Therefore, I didn't experience any significant difficulties in this aspect because the structure was already clear and helped me understand the overall content of the journal."

P2: "Mapify helped me organize information because the ideas from the journal were arranged in a more structured and understandable way. I could also see the relationship between the main ideas and supporting information more easily. However, sometimes the generated mind map was quite dense, so I still needed to review it by comparing it with the original article."

P3: "Yes, Mapify made it much easier to organize information from a complex file into a form that was easier to understand. The structure of the mind map generated by Mapify was also easy to follow. However, sometimes the information provided was still too complex, so I needed to reorganize it and select which information was more relevant and suitable."

P4: "To be honest, using Mapify to organize information from an article was quite easy. However, I still found some weaknesses. Mapify breaks down the text directly into the main points, usually through arrows and short explanations. Personally, I was not really satisfied with that format because sometimes it felt too limited. However, Mapify also provides other features that help users ask questions about the article and explore the content more deeply."

P5: "Mapify was quite helpful in organizing information, but one of its weaknesses is that users need to create an account and log in using an email first. Unlike some

other websites, such as ChatGPT, which can sometimes be accessed without logging in, Mapify requires users to sign in before using it. Another limitation is that the free version can only be used a limited number of times. It can be quite tricky because if there is an error or we upload the wrong file, we may not be able to try again because the credits have already been used."

P6: "Yes, so far Mapify has been very helpful in organizing information coherently. It directly provides the main ideas and the branches of supporting information, so we can understand the core message of the article, the topics being discussed, and the relationship between the ideas. It makes the process much easier because the information is already structured."

Reducing Cognitive Load / Understanding Complex Texts

4. Did Mapify help you understand complex journal articles more easily, or did you still feel confused? What weaknesses do you think cause this (e.g., overly complex maps, lack of clarity, or difficulty in simplifying information)?

Participant's Feedback:

P1: "Yes, I think Mapify was quite helpful in understanding complex journal articles. The generated mind map was also quite detailed, but I think it was a good thing because it included the important points from the article. In a way, the entire content of the journal was represented in the mind map. However, because the information was quite comprehensive, the mind map became large and somewhat complex. I once tried to ask Mapify to simplify the summary further, but the result became too brief, with only the main points or major headings remaining. Therefore, I think the main challenge was finding the right level of summarization. If it is too detailed, the mind map becomes too complex, but if it is too simplified, some important information may be missing."

P2: "Yes, Mapify helped me understand complex journal articles more easily. However, I still needed to check the original journal to make sure I fully understood the information."

P3: "Mapify helped make the summarizing process easier. However, one of the difficulties was that the generated mind map sometimes still contained too much unnecessary information. Therefore, I needed to remove some parts and reorganize the information based on the original article to make it more relevant and appropriate."

P4: "Like I mentioned before, Mapify was very helpful in understanding the main essence of an article, such as what the article discusses and its main focus. However, I think the explanation can still feel quite rigid. Especially when the journal discusses a complex topic, the mind map might not provide enough explanation, which can make users feel more confused. However, this weakness can be balanced by using other features, such as the AI question-and-answer feature."

P5: "Yes, I think Mapify made it easier to understand complex journal articles. The information was already organized into a mind map format, so I did not feel too confused. The structure helped me see the main ideas and understand the content more easily."

P6: "Yes, it was very helpful and made me understand complex journal articles better. It helped me read the article more easily because I did not have to search for the main ideas by myself. With Mapify, the important points are already organized. Although at first I sometimes felt a little confused, after reading and understanding the mind map carefully, the information became much easier to follow."

Critical Thinking & Comprehension

5. Do you think using Mapify improved your understanding or critical thinking when summarizing? Why or why not? What weaknesses did you notice in this aspect (e.g., over-reliance on AI, misleading information)?

Participant's Feedback:

P1: "Yes, I think Mapify helped me understand the content of the article better because the important points were summarized and organized clearly by Mapify. However, since it is an AI tool, as users we still need to review and verify the results to make sure the information is accurate. In my opinion, Mapify is quite helpful for understanding the material, but the generated results still need to be checked and evaluated further."

P2: "In my opinion, using Mapify did not significantly improve my critical thinking skills because the information was already provided instantly and in a summarized form. I tended to accept the generated results without analyzing the journal content deeply. Therefore, it is still necessary to read and evaluate the original article to develop a more critical understanding."

P3: "To improve critical thinking skills when using Mapify, users still need to cross-check whether the generated information matches the content of the original article and try to understand the mind map results. However, using Mapify could reduce critical thinking skills if users simply accept the results without reviewing or understanding them first. For example, directly copying and pasting the generated without checking whether the information is accurate or relevant to the article."

P4: "Yes, I think Mapify can help improve critical thinking because the information provided in the mind map becomes a reference for analyzing the article. Unlike other AI tools, such as ChatGPT or Meta AI, which usually provide detailed explanations directly, Mapify still requires users to fill in the gaps by creating their own sentences, paraphrasing, and developing their own understanding. I do not think users become overly dependent on Mapify because, in my opinion, Mapify functions more as a framework rather than a complete answer."

P5: "Regarding critical thinking, I think it depends on how we use Mapify. Since the summary is generated directly after uploading the article, sometimes I just feel like, 'Oh, the information is already there, so I just need to write it down.' Because

of that, I might not think deeply about the information compared to summarizing manually. Therefore, users still need to analyze and understand the information instead of simply accepting the generated results."

P6: "Yes, using Mapify improved my understanding because the information became more concise and easier to read. It helped me understand the purpose and meaning of the information presented in the mind map more clearly. By seeing the structured information, I could understand the article more easily."

Writing the Summary

6. How did you use Mapify when writing your summary? Did you face any difficulties or weaknesses when writing it using Mapify (e.g., difficulty transforming mind maps into paragraphs, over-reliance, or irrelevant information)?

Participant's Feedback:

P1: "We used Mapify to identify the important points from the journal or reading material, and then we could use those points to understand and summarize the content. In my opinion, Mapify was quite helpful, and I didn't experience any significant difficulties when using this AI tool. The generated mind map was also clear enough, so it was easier to transform the information into written form or paragraphs."

P2: "Mapify helped me slightly in identifying the main ideas and getting an overview of the text. However, I still needed to read and understand the article by myself in order to write a good summary."

P3: "When writing a summary, it is necessary to have a basic understanding of the material that will be summarized first. Then, Mapify helps organize the information so that the article can be summarized based on the generated mind map. After understanding the content and the main ideas of the article, we need to select which information should be included in the summary and which information is not

necessary. Therefore, critical thinking is still needed so that users do not simply copy and paste the information without evaluating it first."

P4: "When creating a summary, I still experienced some difficulties, especially when transforming the mind map generated by Mapify into a complete paragraph. Outside of the supporting AI features provided by Mapify, I still needed to analyze the supporting information independently and decide which points were suitable to include in the summary."

P5: "When writing a summary, I used Mapify as a reference to see the important points from the article. However, I think one possible weakness is that users might become too dependent on AI. If we always use Mapify, we might become less willing to summarize manually because the tool already provides the summary. When summarizing manually, we usually reread the article and decide which information is important, while with Mapify, the main points are already provided for us."

P6: "At first, when I started using Mapify, I experienced some difficulties because I was still unfamiliar with the features, such as how to save the generated mind map. Another difficulty was that the initial mind map was very detailed, including many supporting points, so sometimes there were too many branches and too much information. When we asked Mapify to summarize it again, it created two versions: the original and the updated version. However, when I wanted to compare the original version, I could not click or access it, so I could not clearly see which parts were removed or summarized by Mapify. That was one of the weaknesses I experienced."

Motivation & Engagement

7. How did you feel when using Mapify (e.g., more motivated, confident, or engaged)? What feelings did you often experience? Were there any negative feelings related to its weaknesses (e.g., discomfort using mind maps, frustration due to confusion, or reduced effort)?

Participant's Feedback:

P1: "In terms of confidence, yes, I felt more confident when using Mapify because the important points from the journal were already organized and presented clearly. It made me feel more certain about my understanding of the journal content and helped me avoid missing important points when summarizing. I could also say that the effort required was reduced because I didn't have to manually find and organize all the important information by myself."

P2: "Mapify was quite helpful and it slightly broadened my understanding because it helped organize the important points from the article. However, I did not fully trust the generated answers, so I still needed to check and evaluate the information."

P3: "I felt more motivated and confident because Mapify made it easier to find the main ideas of an article without having to read the entire article in detail. However, sometimes I also felt a little confused when the generated information was too complex."

P4: "When using Mapify, I felt that the process became easier because I was helped by the mind map feature. The additional feature for asking questions about the article in more detail was also helpful. However, because of these conveniences, I sometimes felt less motivated to read the entire article because I assumed I already understood the overall content. In reality, there might still be important points that were missed because they were not included in the mind map. Therefore, Mapify makes the process easier, but it can also make users underestimate the importance of reading the original article."

P5: "I felt that using Mapify was convenient and made the process easier. I did not experience any difficulties or confusion when using it. However, if I had known about Mapify since my earlier college years, I think there was a possibility that I would become too dependent on it. At the same time, I also think it would have been

helpful because it makes tasks like preparing for exams or reviewing materials easier since we can summarize information more quickly."

P6: "I felt very happy when using Mapify because it helped me focus more on what I needed. The process became easier because I could directly see the important information without spending too much time searching for it."

Technical & Overall Evaluation

8. Did you experience any technical or operational problems when using Mapify (e.g., slow performance, errors, or limited features)? What do you think are the main weaknesses of Mapify in summarizing journal articles, and in which part of the summarization process was it least helpful?

Participant's Feedback:

P1: "I didn't experience any technical problems while using Mapify. Overall, the features worked well. However, the main weakness is similar to what I mentioned before. When I asked Mapify to make the summary simpler, the result became too brief, leaving only the main points or general headings. So, we cannot just rely on the default result directly; we need to adjust the prompts to get the output that matches our needs and expectations. Regarding the limited credits for uploading files, I think it is still enough for a free version. If we need more credits, we can consider other alternatives by using different accounts."

P2: "I did not experience any technical errors, but some features were a little confusing. For example, when dividing or simplifying the mind map, the information could become too condensed. Sometimes, after making it more summarized, the generated results became unclear or confusing. Therefore, users still need to adjust and review the information carefully."

P3: "The main weakness of Mapify is the limited credits for generating mind maps. I was not sure how many times I had already generated the maps, but suddenly I

could no longer generate the article. Therefore, the limited credits became one of the main issues when using Mapify."

P4: "One technical problem I experienced happened when uploading a document. When I refreshed the page, the conversation or previous process disappeared, so I had to repeat it again. This was quite inconvenient because the number of times we can upload documents is limited. Another problem was downloading the mind map as an image. I am not sure whether it was a problem with my device or whether the download feature is only available for premium users, but when I clicked the download button, the file was not downloaded at all. Regarding the main weaknesses of Mapify, I think one of them is the lack of more specific explanations for certain topics in the mind map. Because of that, users still need to use other features in Mapify or read the original article independently to gain a deeper understanding."

P5: "The main weaknesses of Mapify are the limited credits and some limitations in saving the results. Since the free version can only be used several times, users need to be careful when generating the mind map. Another problem is that if we want to save the result as a PDF, we need to use the premium version. The free option only allows us to save it as an image, but when the mind map contains a lot of information, the downloaded image can become unclear or blurry, making some parts difficult to read. However, overall, these problems are still manageable and do not significantly affect the usefulness of Mapify."

P6: "The main problem is the limitation of the features. Since Mapify has limited access in the free version, if we use it frequently, we may reach the limit and need to pay for more access. That limitation can be a little inconvenient, especially when we want to use Mapify continuously."

Appendix 6. Documentation of Research Activities



Appendix 7. Thesis Consultation Schedules and Notes

CONSULTATION NOTES

Name of Advisor: Fajrianor, M.Pd

No.	Day/Date	Notes	Signature
1.	Thursday, April 16, 2026	- Specify the text to be used in the RQ - Add key terms definitions about the text - Add theory about journal article - Clarify participant criteria.	
2.	Thursday, May 7, 2026	- Strengthen theories of summary writing steps and mind mapping roles - Revise citation writing - Determine appropriate headings - Remove bullet points (change) - Align instruments with theories	
3.	Wednesday, May 20, 2026	- Revise observation and interview guidelines based on theories (process and role) - Specify data collection details in research setting - Focus observation on participants' activities - Interview, explore Mapify weaknesses based on mind mapping roles. - Move data analysis explanation to the Data Analysis section, or remove it if unnecessary - RQ 2 choose only one aspect (either strengths or weaknesses of Mapify) - Replace the phrase "to identify" with "to know" - Revise wording and ensure consistency between title and RQs	
4.	Tuesday, June 2, 2026	Add theoretical sources to observation and interview objectives in the appendix	
5.	Thursday, June 25, 2026	- Align findings titles with RQs - Present findings in narrative form instead of tables - Add an introduction with data collection dates at the beginning of each finding section, describe each participant's results in separate paragraphs, and provide a concluding summary for each finding.	
6.	Friday, June 26, 2026	Move the analysis date of each participant from the beginning to the end of the paragraph.	

CURRICULUM VITAE

1. Full Name : Salmah Hayaty
2. SRN : 220101030009
3. Place and Date of Birth : Banjarmasin, 21 September 2003
4. Nationality : Indonesian
5. Address : Jalan Tembingkar Kiri, RT.05/RW.01/NO.20,
Desa Simpang Empat, Kecamatan Kertak Hanyar,
Kabupaten Banjar, Kalimantan Selatan, 70654
6. Phone Number : 082150882165
7. Email : Salmah.ss75@gmail.com
8. Educational :
 - a. SDN Simpang Empat (2011-2016)
 - b. MTsN 3 Kota Banjarmasin (2016-2019)
 - c. SMAN 13 Banjarmasin (2019-2022)
 - d. English Language Education Department, UIN Antasari Banjarmasin
(2022-2026)
9. Organizational Experience :
 - a. Secretary of Organizational Affairs Division, UKM-BD Persaudaraan Setia
Hati Terate, UIN Antasari Banjarmasin (2023-2024)
 - b. Secretary of Cadre and Leader Resources Division, English Student Association
Antasari (2023–2024)

- c. General Secretary of English Student Association Antasari (2024–2025)

10. Non-Academic Achievement :

- a. Second Place Winner in Women's Single Martial Arts Performance (Seni Tunggal Remaja Putri), Rektor Cup V ULM 2018
- b. Third Place Winner in Women's Single Martial Arts Performance (Seni Tunggal Remaja Putri), Walikota Cup Banjarmasin 2018

11. List of Scientific Works :

- a. Pembelajaran Terintegrasi di SMP: Menghubungkan Disiplin Ilmu dan Membentuk Pemahaman Holistik (2024)

Banjarmasin, 30 June 2026



Salmah Hayaty