## CHAPTER IV

## FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

## A. Findings

To know more about correlation between students' linguistic intelligence and English mastery, the writer spread a questionnaire which consists of 15 questions for students to be answered. Then, to get more valid data about correlation between students' linguistic intelligence and English mastery, there are tested by English mastery questions in order to know their ability in mastering of English.

In order to make easier to know there is correlation or not of students' linguistic intelligence and English mastery, the writer classify them by the result of the questionnaire which has spread to the students. It consists of 15 questions. It is conducted to know is the any correlation between students' linguistic intelligence and English mastery or not.

To know the students' English mastery, the writer conducted a test for them. The test consists of 40 questions. Every right answer will be scored 2.5 points and 0 points for wrong answer. As a result, if the students can answer right for all of the questions, the students can get the highest score is 100 points.

The data used for the research are in the form of scores which are obtained from the result of the student's linguistic intelligence test and English mastery test. The score of the student's linguistic intelligence test and English mastery test in more detail can be described as follows:

## 1. Students' Written Linguistic Intelligences

To know the students' linguistic intelligences, the researcher gave to the students a questionnaire on $02^{\text {nd }}$ May, 2016. The writer spread a questionnaire which consists of 15 questions for students to be answered. Based on students' questionnaire result of linguistic intelligence (appendix 2), it is found that the highest score is 75 and the lowest is 28 in the scoring scale $0-75$. It was known that students' total score is 1793 and the mean of total score is:
$M=\frac{\sum X}{N}$
$M=\frac{4884}{92}$
$M=53,09$

Based on the result of the applied formula above, the total score of linguistic intelligence test is 4884 of 92 students, and the mean score is 53.09 . The mean score showed that students' linguistic intelligence is in fair category.

To clarify the result and to find the linguistic intelligence, the researcher classified the data into five categories namely excellent, good, fair, low and very low as the standard score of the test. The clarification of linguistic intelligence can be seen in the table as below:

Table 4.1 the Result of Students' Percentage of Students' Linguistic Intelligence

| No | Score | Frequency | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $65-75$ | 15 | $16.3 \%$ |
| 2 | $55-<65$ | 35 | $38 \%$ |
| 3 | $45-<55$ | 18 | $19.6 \%$ |
| 4 | $35-<45$ | 18 | $19.6 \%$ |
| 5 | $0-<35$ | 6 | $6.5 \%$ |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

The table shown that got score $65-75$ (Excellent) are 15 students ( $16.3 \%$ ), got score $55-<65$ (Good) are 35 students ( $38 \%$ ), got score $45-<55$ (Fair) are 18 students (19.6\%), got score $35-<45$ (Low) are 18 students (19.6\%) and got score 0 $<35$ (Very low) are 6 students (6.5\%).

The table above resulted that mean score of linguistic intelligence is in interval $45-<55$. So that, the researcher concluded that students' linguistic intelligence at the eighth grade of State Islamic Junior High School 1 Gambut academic year 2015/2016 is in fair category.

The frequency distribution of the score of the students' linguistic intelligence with 6 length of interval and 8 numbers of classes and its histogram and polygon can be seen on the table and figures as follows:

Table 4.2. The Data of Linguistic Intelligence

| Number | Classification |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | The highest score | 75 |
| 2. | The lowest score | 28 |


| 3. | Score range (r) | $75-28=47$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $1+(3.3) \log \mathrm{n}$ <br>  <br>  <br> 4. Class number |
|  |  | $=1+(3.3) \log 92$ |
|  |  | $=7.46$ |
|  |  | r/class number <br> 5. |
|  |  | $=47 / 8$ |

Table 4.3. The Frequency Distribution of Students' Linguistic Intelligence

| Class limits | Frequency | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $28-33$ | 6 | $6 \%$ |
| $34-39$ | 9 | $10 \%$ |
| $40-45$ | 11 | $12 \%$ |
| $46-51$ | 10 | $11 \%$ |
| $52-57$ | 22 | $24 \%$ |
| $58-63$ | 18 | $20 \%$ |
| $64-69$ | 10 | $11 \%$ |
| $70-75$ | 6 | $6 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Figure 4.1 The Histogram and Polygon of Students' Linguistic Intelligence


## 2. Students' English Mastery

To better understand about students' English mastery the researcher conducted a test of reading text at $02^{\text {nd }}$ May, 2016 in the classroom. The test consists of 40 questions. Based on students' test result of English mastery, it is found that the highest score is 95 and the lowest is 40 in the scoring scale $0-100$. It was known that students' total score is 6122.5 and the mean of total score is:
$M=\frac{\sum X}{N}$
$M=\frac{6122.5}{92}$
$M=66.54$

Based on the result of the applied formula above, the total score of linguistic intelligence test is 6122.5 of 92 students, and the mean score is 66.54 . The mean score showed that students' English mastery is in fair category.

To clarify the result and to find the linguistic intelligence, the researcher classified the data into five categories namely excellent, good, fair, low and very low as the standard score of the test. The clarification of linguistic intelligence can be seen in the table as below:

Table 4.2 the Result of Students' Percentage of Students' English Mastery

| No | Score | Frequency | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $80-100$ | 17 | $18.5 \%$ |
| 2 | $70-<80$ | 25 | $27.2 \%$ |
| 3 | $60-<70$ | 23 | $25 \%$ |
| 4 | $50-<60$ | 15 | $16.3 \%$ |
| 5 | $0-<50$ | 12 | $13 \%$ |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

The table shown that got score $80-100$ (Excellent) are 17 students ( $18.5 \%$ ), got score $70-<80$ (Good) are 25 students (27.5\%), got score $60-<70$ (Fair) are 23 students (25\%), got score $50-<60$ (Low) are 15 students (16.3\%) and got score $0-<$ 50 (Very low) are 12 students ( $13 \%$ ).

The table above resulted that mean score of students' English mastery is in interval $60-<70$. So that, the researcher concluded that students' English mastery at the eighth grade of State Islamic Junior High School 1 Gambut academic year 2015/2016 is in fair category.

The frequency distribution of the score of the students' linguistic intelligence with 7 length of interval and 8 numbers of classes and its histogram and polygon can be seen on the table and figures as follows:

Table 4.2. The Data of Students' English Mastery

| Number | Classification |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | The highest score | 95 |
| 2. | The lowest score | 40 |
| 3. | Score range (r) | $95-40=55$ |
|  |  | $1+(3.3) \log \mathrm{n}$ |
|  |  | $=1+(3.3) \log 92$ |
| 4. | Class number | $=1+6.46$ |
|  |  | $=7.46$ |
|  |  | $=8$ |
| 5. | Interval | r/class number |
|  |  | $=55 / 7$ |
|  |  | $=6.87$ |

Table 4.3. The Frequency Distribution of Students' English Mastery

| Class limits | Frequency | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $40-46$ | 8 | $8 \%$ |
| $47-53$ | 14 | $15 \%$ |
| $54-60$ | 8 | $8 \%$ |
| $61-67$ | 20 | $23 \%$ |
| $68-74$ | 13 | $14 \%$ |
| $75-81$ | 15 | $16 \%$ |
| $82-88$ | 7 | $8 \%$ |
| $89-95$ | 7 | $8 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Figure 4.2 The Histogram and Polygon of Students' English Matery

3. Correlation Between Students' Strength in Linguistic Intelligence and English Mastery at the Eighth Grade of State Islamic Junior High School 1 Gambut Academic Year 2015/2016

The data of score of the students’ linguistic intelligence and English mastery can be seen in table 4.1 and 4.3. After the average of data X and data Y are defined, the writer made working table which can be seen in the following table.

Table 4.7 Working Table of Data Analysis

| Subject | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{X Y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 55 | 47.5 | 3025 | 2256.25 | 2612.5 |
| 2 | 62 | 85 | 3844 | 7225 | 5270 |
| 3 | 64 | 82.5 | 4096 | 6806.25 | 5280 |
| 4 | 58 | 70 | 3364 | 4900 | 4060 |
| 5 | 56 | 67.5 | 3136 | 4556.25 | 3780 |
| 6 | 57 | 75 | 3249 | 5625 | 4275 |
| 7 | 58 | 70 | 3364 | 4900 | 4060 |
| 8 | 72 | 95 | 5184 | 9025 | 6840 |
| 9 | 53 | 85 | 2809 | 7225 | 4505 |
| 10 | 57 | 65 | 3249 | 4225 | 3705 |
| 11 | 63 | 87.5 | 3969 | 7656.25 | 5512.5 |
| 12 | 66 | 62.5 | 4356 | 3906.25 | 4125 |
| 13 | 59 | 82.5 | 3481 | 6806.25 | 4867.5 |
| 14 | 71 | 67.5 | 5041 | 4556.25 | 4792.5 |
| 15 | 54 | 70 | 2916 | 4900 | 3780 |
| 16 | 59 | 52.5 | 3481 | 2756.25 | 3097.5 |
| 17 | 57 | 62.5 | 3249 | 3906.25 | 3562.5 |
| 18 | 69 | 90 | 4761 | 8100 | 6210 |
| 19 | 70 | 72.5 | 4900 | 5256.25 | 5075 |
| 20 | 59 | 70 | 3481 | 4900 | 4130 |
| 21 | 56 | 85 | 3136 | 7225 | 4760 |
| 22 | 55 | 65 | 3025 | 4225 | 3575 |
| 23 | 59 | 50 | 3481 | 2500 | 2950 |
| 24 | 61 | 45 | 3721 | 2025 | 2745 |
| 25 | 56 | 67.5 | 3136 | 4556.25 | 3780 |


| 26 | 61 | 72.5 | 3721 | 5256.25 | 4422.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | 55 | 50 | 3025 | 2500 | 2750 |
| 28 | 61 | 77.5 | 3721 | 6006.25 | 4727.5 |
| 29 | 56 | 75 | 3136 | 5625 | 4200 |
| 30 | 54 | 70 | 2916 | 4900 | 3780 |
| 31 | 45 | 50 | 2025 | 2500 | 2250 |
| 32 | 35 | 45 | 1225 | 2025 | 1575 |
| 33 | 42 | 52.5 | 1764 | 2756.25 | 2205 |
| 34 | 33 | 42.5 | 1089 | 1806.25 | 1402.5 |
| 35 | 32 | 40 | 1024 | 1600 | 1280 |
| 36 | 50 | 65 | 2500 | 4225 | 3250 |
| 37 | 51 | 67.5 | 2601 | 4556.25 | 3442.5 |
| 38 | 59 | 70 | 3481 | 4900 | 4130 |
| 39 | 61 | 80 | 3721 | 6400 | 4880 |
| 40 | 56 | 72.5 | 3136 | 5256.25 | 4060 |
| 41 | 58 | 75 | 3364 | 5625 | 4350 |
| 42 | 33 | 42.5 | 1089 | 1806.25 | 1402.5 |
| 43 | 31 | 40 | 961 | 1600 | 1240 |
| 44 | 63 | 87.5 | 3969 | 7656.25 | 5512.5 |
| 45 | 65 | 90 | 4225 | 8100 | 5850 |
| 46 | 69 | 92.5 | 4761 | 8556.25 | 6382.5 |
| 47 | 40 | 55 | 1600 | 3025 | 2200 |
| 48 | 56 | 67.5 | 3136 | 4556.25 | 3780 |
| 49 | 48 | 65 | 2304 | 4225 | 3120 |
| 50 | 72 | 95 | 5184 | 9025 | 6840 |
| 51 | 50 | 70 | 2500 | 4900 | 3500 |
| 52 | 39 | 50 | 1521 | 2500 | 1950 |
| 53 | 70 | 90 | 4900 | 8100 | 6300 |
| 54 | 70 | 92.5 | 4900 | 8556.25 | 6475 |
| 55 | 68 | 77.5 | 4624 | 6006.25 | 5270 |
| 56 | 47 | 62.5 | 2209 | 3906.25 | 2937.5 |
| 57 | 53 | 75 | 2809 | 5625 | 3975 |
| 58 | 48 | 60 | 2304 | 3600 | 2880 |
| 59 | 38 | 52.5 | 1444 | 2756.25 | 1995 |
| 60 | 40 | 52.5 | 1600 | 2756.25 | 2100 |
| 61 | 46 | 67.5 | 2116 | 4556.25 | 3105 |
| 62 | 54 | 72.5 | 2916 | 5256.25 | 3915 |
| 63 | 45 | 47.5 | 2025 | 2256.25 | 2137.5 |


| 64 | 66 | 70 | 4356 | 4900 | 4620 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65 | 28 | 40 | 784 | 1600 | 1120 |
| 66 | 37 | 47.5 | 1369 | 2256.25 | 1757.5 |
| 67 | 56 | 47.5 | 3136 | 2256.25 | 2660 |
| 68 | 35 | 60 | 1225 | 3600 | 2100 |
| 69 | 39 | 55 | 1521 | 3025 | 2145 |
| 70 | 41 | 57.5 | 1681 | 3306.25 | 2357.5 |
| 71 | 57 | 60 | 3249 | 3600 | 3420 |
| 72 | 62 | 65 | 3844 | 4225 | 4030 |
| 73 | 61 | 70 | 3721 | 4900 | 4270 |
| 74 | 44 | 67.5 | 1936 | 4556.25 | 2970 |
| 75 | 68 | 75 | 4624 | 5625 | 5100 |
| 76 | 65 | 75 | 4225 | 5625 | 4875 |
| 77 | 36 | 50 | 1296 | 2500 | 1800 |
| 78 | 35 | 57.5 | 1225 | 3306.25 | 2012.5 |
| 79 | 47 | 65 | 2209 | 4225 | 3055 |
| 80 | 42 | 67.5 | 1764 | 4556.25 | 2835 |
| 81 | 55 | 77.5 | 3025 | 6006.25 | 4262.5 |
| 82 | 61 | 67.5 | 3721 | 4556.25 | 4117.5 |
| 83 | 67 | 80 | 4489 | 6400 | 5360 |
| 84 | 54 | 80 | 2916 | 6400 | 4320 |
| 85 | 41 | 42.5 | 1681 | 1806.25 | 1742.5 |
| 86 | 47 | 75 | 2209 | 5625 | 3525 |
| 87 | 42 | 50 | 1764 | 2500 | 2100 |
| 88 | 55 | 57.5 | 3025 | 3306.25 | 3162.5 |
| 89 | 35 | 65 | 1225 | 4225 | 2275 |
| 90 | 47 | 77.5 | 2209 | 6006.25 | 3642.5 |
| 91 | 42 | 62.5 | 1764 | 3906.25 | 2625 |
| 92 | 59 | 75 | 3481 | 5625 | 4425 |
| Total | 4884 | 6122.5 | 270674 | 425706 | 335608 |

After the writer made a working table, the degree of $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ will be determined by implementing formula as follow:

$$
r_{x y}=\frac{N \sum X Y-\left(\sum X\right)\left(\sum Y\right)}{\sqrt{\left\{N X^{2}-\left(\sum X\right)^{2}\right\}\left\{N \sum Y^{2}-\left(\sum Y\right)^{2}\right\}}}
$$

Where:
$\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ : coefficient of correlation between X variable and Y variable
X : sum of score in X distribution
Y : sum of score in Y distribution
XY: sum of multiplication of $X$ an $Y$
$\mathrm{X}^{2}$ : sum of X quadrate
$\mathrm{Y}^{2}$ : sum of Y quadrate
The result is a follow:
$\mathrm{N} \quad$ : 92
$\sum X \quad: 4884$
$\sum Y \quad: 6122.5$
$\Sigma X^{2} \quad: 270674$
$\sum Y^{2}: 425706$
$\sum X Y: 335608$
$r_{x y}=\frac{N \sum X Y-\left(\sum X\right)\left(\sum Y\right)}{\sqrt{\left\{N X^{2}-\left(\sum X\right)^{2}\right\}\left\{N \sum Y^{2}-\left(\sum Y\right)^{2}\right\}}}$
$r_{x y}=\frac{92.335608-4884.6122 .5}{\sqrt{\left\{92.270674-(4884)^{2}\right\}\left\{92.425706-(6122.5)^{2}\right\}}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{x y}=\frac{30875936-29902290}{\sqrt{\{24902008-23853456\}\{39164952-37485006.3\}}} \\
& r_{x y}=\frac{973646}{\sqrt{1048552.1679945 .7}} \\
& r_{x y}=\frac{973646}{\sqrt{1761510423626.4}} \\
& r_{x y}=\frac{973646}{1327219.06} \\
& r_{x y}=0.734
\end{aligned}
$$

After finding the result of the calculation by using correlation formula, the data by using Statistical Product and Service Solution (SPSS) 19.0 will be recalculated in order to confirm the calculation result, and the result is 0.734 . The result from SPSS 19.0 can be seen in the following table:

Table 4.8 SPSS 19.0 Correlation between Linguistic Intelligence and English Mastery Result

## Correlations

|  |  | x | y |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| X | Pearson Correlation | 1 | , 734 |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) |  | , 000 |
|  | N | 92 | 92 |
| Y | Pearson Correlation | , 734 | 1 |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | , 000 |  |
|  | N | 92 |  |
| **. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed). |  |  |  |

Based on the result of the calculation in the findings, it is known that $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ of Linguistic Intelligence and English Mastery is 1.230. To test the hypothesis of the research there are two steps that the writer have performed, it can be known whether there is a significant correlation or not.

Firstly, the writer consulted to the table of product moment. More detail about table of product moment can be seen in the appendix. Then found out that the " r " value for $\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-2, \mathrm{df}=92-2=90$ is 0.205 for $5 \%$ significance degree. It is clear that $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{xy}}<\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{t}}$.
$\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{xy}}=0.734$
$\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{t}}=0.205$
$r_{x y}<r_{t}$
It means, that the hypothesis alternatif (Ha) is accepted and hypothesis null (Ho) is denied. It means there is significance correlation between students' Linguistic Intelligence and English Mastery.

Secondly, in order to confirm the first step, the writer consulted to table of standard classification of correlation degree below:

Table 4.12. Standard Categories of " $r$ " Product Moment Value

| The score of "r" product <br> moment $\left(\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{x y}}\right)$ | Interpretation |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0.00-0.19$ | There is a relationship between X and |
|  | Y, but the correlation is very week or |
| little so it is ignored or it is considered |  |
|  | no correlation in this rating. |
| $0.20-0.39$ | There is a relationship between X and |


|  | Y, but it is weak or little. |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0.40-0.69$ | There is a relationship between X and <br> Y. The value is medium. |
| $0.70-0.89$ | There is high relationship between X <br> and Y. |
| $0.90-1.00$ | There is very high relationship between <br> X and Y. |

From the table above, it is seen that the $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ of linguistic intelligence and English mastery 1.230. It means that the correlation between variable X and variable Y in this research is Very high level. It means there is a correlation between variable X (linguistic intelligence) and Y (English mastery).

## B. Discussion

Based on the correlation computing between linguistic intelligence and students' English mastery, it indicated that the correlation between linguistic intelligence and students' English mastery was between 0.9 and 1.0 (-0.7 and -1.0). It means that the calculated $r=0,734$ was classified as high correlation.

Linguistic intelligence as part of the multiple intelligences is a form of intelligence that is essential in daily life. In this study, the researcher found that this research gives a real description that the classification of the students' linguistic intelligence and students' English mastery was high correlation. It means that students' linguistic intelligence influenced students' English mastery strongly.

According to Gardner (1983, p:56), "memorizing, reading, writing, speaking, listening are activity that is controlled by a person who has linguistic intelligence." Based on Gardner (1983, p:58), "children with linguistic intelligence excel at reading,
writing, telling stories, and doing crossword or other word puzzles". Armstrong (2009) stated that a person who has linguistic intelligence with the high ability, they think through the words. They also love reading, writing, telling stories, playing word games. In addition, they also need books, writing materials, diary, debates, and other things so to support their learning activities.

Students' linguistic intelligence influenced their attitude in English learning process. This positive attitude will affect the students to increase their learning especially in mastering English Language. Finally, it can be concluded that there is correlation between linguistic intelligence and students' English mastery. Linguistic intelligence influenced students' English mastery and students' English mastery was depend on linguistic intelligence.

